A group of researchers conducted a survey about helping behaviour. They asked an opportunity sample of 200 university students to complete a questionnaire. The questionnaire contained open and closed questions. The following are examples of questions used in the questionnaire:

A  Do you think that you are generally a helpful person? Yes  No

B  What do you think most people would do if they were driving in the rain and saw a woman standing alone next to her broken-down car?

C  How would you react if someone walking in front of you slipped and fell over?

(a) Identify an open question from A, B or C above. Give one advantage of using open questions.

Example of open question (write A, B or C) ________

Advantage _____________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

The researchers then categorised the responses given to question C above. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: The number of participants who gave the following responses to question C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Help the person</th>
<th>Ignore the person</th>
<th>Laugh at the person</th>
<th>Other reactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) What conclusion might the researchers draw from the responses given in Table 1 above? Justify your answer.

On the basis of the responses to question C, the researchers decided to conduct a further investigation. The aim was to see whether an individual’s helping behaviour might be affected by the presence of other people.

The participants were an opportunity sample of 40 first-year students. The students were told that they would be interviewed about university life. Each student was met by an interviewer and asked to wait. The interviewer then went into the next room. After two minutes there was a loud noise and a cry of pain from the next room.

Twenty participants took part in Condition 1 and the other 20 participants took part in Condition 2.

**Condition 1** Each participant waited alone.

**Condition 2** Each participant waited with another person who had previously been told by the researchers not to react to the sounds from the next room.

The researchers counted the number of participants in each condition who went to help the interviewer in the next room.

(c) Write a suitable experimental hypothesis for the further investigation.
(d) Suggest one extraneous variable that might be present in the further investigation. Explain why this variable should be controlled and how it could be controlled.

______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________

(e) Identify the experimental design used in the further investigation. Explain why this is a suitable experimental design for this study.

______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________

(3)
(f) Explain how random sampling might have been used to select the participants in the further investigation.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(2)

The results of the further investigation are given below.

**Table 2: Number of participants who went to help the interviewer in Condition 1 and Condition 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition 1 (Participant waiting alone)</th>
<th>Condition 2 (Participant waiting with another person)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(g) Suggest a suitable graphical display that could be used to represent the data in Table 2. Justify your choice.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(2)
2 A researcher studied the effect of context on memory. He used an independent groups design. He tested participants in one of two conditions.

In **Condition 1**, a group of 20 schoolchildren learned a list of 40 words in a classroom. This group then recalled the words in the same classroom.

In **Condition 2**, a different group of 20 schoolchildren learned the same list of 40 words in a classroom. This group then recalled the words in the school hall.

The researcher recorded the results and compared the mean number of words recalled in each condition.

(a) Identify the independent variable in this study.  

(1)

(b) Use your knowledge of retrieval failure to explain the likely outcome of this study.  

(3)

(c) In this study, participants were randomly allocated to one of the two conditions. Explain how this might have been carried out.  

(2)

(d) In this study, the researcher used an independent groups design. The researcher decided to repeat the study with different participants and to use a matched pairs design.

   Explain how these participants could be matched and then allocated to the conditions.  

(2)

(Total 8 marks)
Dave, a middle-aged male researcher, approached an adult in a busy street. He asked the adult for directions to the train station. He repeated this with 29 other adults.

Each of the 30 adults was then approached by a second researcher, called Sam, who showed each of them 10 photographs of different middle-aged men, including a photograph of Dave. Sam asked the 30 adults to choose the photograph of the person who had asked them for directions to the train station.

Sam estimated the age of each of the 30 adults and recorded whether each one had correctly chosen the photograph of Dave.

(a) Identify one aim of this experiment.

(b) Suggest one reason why the researchers decided to use a field experiment rather than a laboratory experiment.

(c) Name the sampling technique used in this experiment. Evaluate the choice of this sampling technique in this experiment.

Sampling technique

Evaluation
(d) Identify **one** possible extraneous variable in this experiment. Explain how this extraneous variable could have affected the results of this experiment.

**Extraneous variable**

**How this extraneous variable could have affected the results of this experiment**

---

(Total 12 marks)
A psychologist carried out a research study to investigate the effects of institutional care. To do this, she constructed a questionnaire to use with 100 adults who had spent some time in an institution when they were children.

She also carried out interviews with ten of the adults.

(a) For this study, explain one advantage of collecting information using a questionnaire.

(b) In this study, the psychologist collected some qualitative data. Explain what is meant by qualitative data.

(c) Write one suitable question that could be used in the interviews to produce qualitative data.

(d) Identify two ethical issues that the psychologist would need to consider in this research. Explain how the psychologist could deal with one of these issues.

Ethical Issue 1

Ethical Issue 2
The following results are percentages of participants who gave the maximum shock, in variations of Milgram’s experiment into obedience to authority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>% Participants obeying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimenter and two obedient confederates are in the same room as the participant.</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimenter is in the same room as the participant.</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimenter is in a different room from the participant.</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimenter and two disobedient confederates are in the same room as the participant.</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What do these results suggest about the power of the confederates in variations of Milgram’s study?

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

Extra space

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(Total 4 marks)

Case studies are a commonly used method of investigating abnormality.

(a) What is meant by a case study?

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(2)

(b) Outline one weakness of using a case study as a method of investigation.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(2)

(Total 4 marks)
7. Explain what is meant by replicability. Why is replicability an important feature of science?

(Total 5 marks)

8. A maths teacher wondered whether there was a relationship between mathematical ability and musical ability. She decided to test this out on the GCSE students in the school. From 210 students, she randomly selected 10 and gave each of them two tests. She used part of a GCSE exam paper to test their mathematical ability. The higher the mark, the better the mathematical ability. She could not find a musical ability test so she devised her own. She asked each student to sing a song of their choice. She then rated their performance on a scale of 1–10, where 1 is completely tuneless and 10 is in perfect tune.

(a) Suggest a suitable non-directional hypothesis for this study.

(b) Why might the measure of musical ability used by the teacher lack validity?

(c) Explain how the teacher could have checked the reliability of the mathematical ability test.

(d) Explain why the teacher chose to use a random sample in this study.

The results of the study are given in the table below.

Mathematical ability test scores and musical ability ratings for 10 students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Mathematical ability test score</th>
<th>Musical ability rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(e) In your answer book, sketch a graph to show the data in the table above. Give the graph an appropriate title and label the axes.

(f) Discuss what the data in the table above and the graph that you have sketched seem to show about the relationship between mathematical ability and musical ability.

(g) The teacher noticed that most of the students who were rated highly on musical ability were left-handed. The teacher is aware that her previous definition of musical ability lacked validity.

Design a study to test whether there is a difference in the musical ability of left-handed students and right-handed students. You have access to a sixth form of 200 students.

You should:

• identify the design that you would use
• explain an appropriate sampling method and justify your choice
• describe the procedure that you would use, including details of how you would assess musical ability
• write a suitable debrief for these participants.

(h) In your answer book, draw a table to show how you would record your results. Identify an appropriate statistical test to analyse the data that you would collect. Justify your choice.

(Total 30 marks)
A psychologist wanted to investigate whether or not people are influenced by the opinions of others.

The psychologist selected 100 pupils from a secondary school to be participants in the study.

The psychologist showed participants a cake which weighed 350 grams. The task for the participants was to estimate the weight of the cake in grams.

Participants were allocated randomly to one of two groups.

In **Group A**, 50 participants were asked individually to estimate the weight of the cake. The psychologist gave each participant a blank piece of paper on which to write his or her estimate.

In **Group B**, the other 50 participants were asked individually to estimate the weight of the cake. This time, the psychologist gave each participant a piece of paper which contained a list of five weights (493 grams, 512 grams, 502 grams, 485 grams and 601 grams). The participants were told that these were the estimates given by five people and that they should write their own estimate below these other estimates.

The psychologist expected that participants in **Group B** would be influenced by the five other estimates. She expected that they would write down a weight similar to the five estimates on the piece of paper.

The median estimates for the weight of the cake are shown in the table below.

**The median estimate for the weight of the cake (in grams) in Group A and Group B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A Estimate written on a blank piece of paper</th>
<th>Group B Estimate written below the list of five other estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The median estimate of the weight of the cake (in grams)</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) What might the psychologist conclude from the median scores shown in the table above? Explain your answer.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(2)
(b) Identify the independent variable and the dependent variable in this study.

Independent variable ________________________________

Dependent variable ________________________________

(c) Explain how stratified sampling might have been used to select the participants in this study.

(d) (i) The psychologist allocated the participants randomly to the two groups that were used in this study.

Explain how the psychologist could have allocated the participants randomly to the two groups.
(d) (ii) Briefly explain one reason why random allocation of participants is important.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(e) The psychologist used an independent groups design in this study.

Explain one reason why it would not have been appropriate to use a repeated measures design in this study.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(f) Identify and briefly explain one ethical issue that the psychologist should have considered in this study.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(3)
A psychologist studying obedience conducted the following experiment.

A confederate (stooge) approached people in the street and instructed them to pick up a piece of litter and put it in a nearby bin. None of the people approached had dropped the litter.

There were two groups in the experiment.

**Group A** The confederate (stooge) was dressed in everyday clothing.

**Group B** The confederate (stooge) was dressed in a uniform.

The psychologist recorded how many people in each group obeyed the instruction of the confederate (stooge).

(a) Identify the experimental design that was used in this study. Briefly explain one advantage of using this experimental design in this study.

(b) Identify the independent variable and the dependent variable in this experiment.
A psychologist studying the primacy effect in impression formation conducted the following experiment.

Each participant was taken to the same room where they listened to a description of a person called 'Alex'. The participants were randomly allocated to one of two groups in the experiment. The psychologist gave each participant the same information about 'Alex', but the order of the information varied depending on the group.

**Group A**
Five positive points about Alex's personality were followed by five negative points.

**Group B**
Five negative points about Alex's personality were followed by five positive points.

After listening to the passage, each participant was asked to state whether they thought 'Alex' was a friendly person or not. The psychologist recorded how many participants in each group stated that Alex was 'friendly'.

(a) Identify the type of experiment that was conducted. Select one option from the list below.

- Laboratory experiment
- Field experiment
- Quasi-experiment

(b) Briefly explain one advantage of the type of experiment that you have identified in your answer to part (a).

(c) Identify the independent variable and the dependent variable in this experiment.

(d) Identify the experimental design used in this study.

When investigating the conditioning of behaviour, Behaviourists such as Pavlov and Skinner collected quantitative data.

Outline what is meant by **quantitative data**. Give an example of quantitative data collected by a psychologist who has studied conditioning.
13 Give two reasons why Behaviourists do not collect qualitative data in their investigations. (Total 2 marks)

14 Outline what is meant by replication in research. Explain why replication forms an essential part of the scientific approach. (Total 4 marks)

15 A study was carried out to test the effectiveness of a new anger management programme. The programme had been designed by a team of psychologists working in a young offenders’ institution.

Fifteen male offenders aged 17–21 years took part in the programme. An anger score for each offender was obtained before the start of the programme. This score was based on a questionnaire designed by the psychologists. The questionnaire had 10 items. The maximum score was 50; the higher the score, the greater the level of anger.

The month-long programme of anger management involved 8 two-hour sessions.

Throughout the programme, the offenders were told to keep a diary of situations that made them angry and to record their anger in these situations. After the programme had ended, they were told to continue to keep their diary.

Two weeks later, after the programme had ended, a second anger score was obtained for each offender. The same questionnaire was used.

The data obtained are shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Median anger scores and the ranges before and after the programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Explain why measures of dispersion are often used in addition to measures of central tendency to summarise data. Refer to the results of this study in your answer. (2)
(b) A Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used to test for a significant difference between the anger scores at the start of the programme and after the programme had ended.

The calculated value of $T$ was found to be 22.

**Table 2: Critical values of $T$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of significance for two-tailed test</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical value of $T$ (when $N = 15$)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T$ must be equal to or less than the critical value to be significant.

Using **Table 2** above, explain whether or not the result is significant. (2)

(c) Explain why the psychologists decided to use a Wilcoxon signed ranks test to analyse the data. (3)

(d) Explain two possible reasons for asking each offender to keep a diary. (4)

(e) An independent researcher reviewed the design of the study and noted that there was no control group.

   Explain how having a control group could have improved this study. (3)

(f) The independent researcher was also concerned that the psychologists had not checked the reliability and validity of the questionnaire used to measure the level of anger.

   Outline how the psychologists could check the reliability and the validity of the questionnaire. (5)

(Total 19 marks)
A researcher carried out an experiment to investigate misleading information. Participants were shown a photograph in which a man and a woman were talking. The photograph was then taken away and the participants were asked questions about it. Participants were randomly allocated to condition one or condition two.

Participants in condition one were asked:
**Question A** “How old was the youth in the photograph?”

Participants in condition two were asked:
**Question B** “How old was the man in the photograph?”

(a) Why is **Question A** an example of misleading information?

(2)

(b) Name an appropriate experimental design which could be used in this experiment. Explain why a repeated measures design would be unsuitable to use in this experiment.

**Experimental design**

**Explanation**

**Extra space**
(c) Explain why it would be appropriate to use a pilot study as part of this experiment.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Extra space

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(4)

(d) In this experiment, participants were asked to look at a photograph rather than watch a live conversation. Explain one strength and one limitation of carrying out the experiment in this way.

Strength ________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Extra space

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Limitation ________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Extra space

________________________________________________________________________

(4)
(e) Describe at least one other research study into misleading information. In your answer you should include details of what participants were asked to do and what results were found.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Extra space

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(6)
(Total 20 marks)
A researcher investigated the effect of age of starting day care on levels of aggression. Four-year-old children attending a day nursery were used. Each child was assessed by the researcher and given an aggression score. A high score indicated a high level of aggression. A low score indicated a low level of aggression. The maximum score was 50.

Mean aggression scores for four-year-old children who had started day care before the age of two or after the age of two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Started day care before the age of two</th>
<th>Started day care after the age of two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Identify the operationalised independent variable and the operationalised dependent variable in this study.

**Operationalised independent variable**

__________________________________________________________________________________________

**Operationalised dependent variable**

__________________________________________________________________________________________

(4)

(b) What do the mean scores in the table above suggest about the effect of age at which children started day care on children’s aggression?

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(c) Name one measure of dispersion that the researcher could have used to describe the data.

__________________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________________

(1)
(d) Draw an appropriate bar chart to display the data presented in the table above. Correctly label your bar chart.

(e) State an appropriate directional hypothesis for this study.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(Total 12 marks)
The following scattergram shows the relationship between the number of weeks of treatment with ECT and the score on the Self-Rating Depression Scale (on this scale, a high score indicates depression).

Outline what the scattergram seems to show.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(Total 4 marks)
Two psychologists investigated the relationship between age and recall of medical advice. Previous research had shown that recall of medical advice tended to be poorer in older patients. The study was conducted at a doctor's surgery and involved a sample of 30 patients aged between 18 and 78 years. They all saw the same doctor, who made notes of the advice that she gave during the consultation.

One of the psychologists interviewed each of the patients individually, immediately after they had seen the doctor. The psychologist asked each patient a set of questions about what the doctor had said about their diagnosis and treatment. The patients’ responses were recorded and then typed out. Working independently the psychologists compared each typed account with the doctor's written notes in order to rate the accuracy of the accounts on a scale of 1 – 10. A high rating indicated that the patient’s recall was very accurate and a low rating indicated that the patient’s recall was very inaccurate.

(a) The psychologists decided to propose a directional hypothesis. Why was a directional hypothesis appropriate in this case?

(b) Write a suitable directional hypothesis for this investigation.

(c) The psychologists were careful to consider the issue of reliability during the study. What is meant by reliability?

(d) Explain how the psychologists might have assessed the reliability of their ratings.

(e) This study collected both qualitative and quantitative data. From the description of the study above, identify the qualitative data and the quantitative data.

   The psychologists used Spearman's rho to analyse the data from their investigation. They chose to use the 0.05 level of significance. The result gave a correlation coefficient of −0.52.

(f) Give two reasons why the psychologists used Spearman's rho to analyse the data.
(g) Using the table below, state whether the result is significant or not significant and explain why.

Extract from a table of critical values of Spearman's rho ($r_s$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of significance for a one-tailed test</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of significance for a two-tailed test</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=29</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated $r_s$ must equal or exceed the table (critical) value for significance at the level shown.

(h) Explain what is meant by a Type 1 error.

(i) Use the information in the table above to explain why the psychologists did not think that they had made a Type 1 error in this case.

(Total 19 marks)

The psychologists then wanted to see whether the use of diagrams in medical consultations would affect recall of medical information.

In a laboratory experiment involving a medical consultation role-play, participants were randomly allocated to one of two conditions. In Condition A, a doctor used diagrams to present to each participant a series of facts about high blood pressure. In Condition B, the same doctor presented the same series of facts about high blood pressure to each participant but without the use of diagrams.

At the end of the consultation, participants were tested on their recall of facts about high blood pressure. Each participant was given a score out of ten for the number of facts recalled.

(a) In this case, the psychologists decided to use a laboratory experiment rather than a field experiment. Discuss advantages of carrying out this experiment in a laboratory.

(b) Identify an appropriate statistical test that the psychologists could use to analyse the data from the follow-up study. Give one reason why this test is appropriate.

(Total 6 marks)
Research has shown that music can affect the ability to concentrate. Design an experiment that could be carried out in a classroom to test the effects of two different kinds of music on a task requiring concentration (e.g., word search).

You **must** use a repeated measures design.

In your answer you should:

- fully operationalise the independent and dependent variables
- provide details of how you would control extraneous variables
- describe the procedure that you would use. You should provide sufficient detail for the study to be carried out.

(Total 10 marks)
A psychologist wanted to investigate whether or not the presence of an audience had an effect on the performance of a task.

The task was to shoot netballs through a hoop. Each participant took 20 shots.

The experiment took place in a school sports hall.

The psychologist obtained the sample of participants from a local secondary school for girls. She drew the names of 40 participants at random from a list of girls who all played netball regularly. The first 20 participants drawn took part in the experimental condition and the next 20 participants took part in the control condition.

In the experimental condition, each participant took 20 shots. In this condition, 50 pupils from the school acted as an audience watching the performance.

In the control condition, the other 20 participants performed the same task, but this time without the audience watching.

The psychologist observed each girl’s performance and recorded the number of netballs successfully shot through the hoop.

(a) State an appropriate hypothesis for this study.

(b) The results of the study are shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of an audience</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence of an audience</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean number of netballs successfully shot through the hoop in the presence and absence of an audience.
(b) What might the psychologist conclude from the data in the table above? Justify your answer.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(c) Sketch an appropriate graphical display of the data shown in Table.
(d) The psychologist used random sampling to select the participants in this study.

Explain one strength of using a random sample.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(e) (i) The psychologist used an independent groups design in this study.

Briefly explain one limitation of using an independent groups design in this study.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(ii) Explain how the limitation that you have identified in your answer to (e) (i) might have been overcome.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(f) (i) What is meant by an extraneous variable?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(1)

(ii) Explain why it is important to control extraneous variables in experimental research.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)
A researcher wanted to investigate the effectiveness of therapy as a treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder in children. Before the therapy started, the mothers of 10 children with obsessive-compulsive disorder each rated the anxiety of their child. They used a rating scale of 1–10, where 1 meant not at all anxious and 10 meant extremely anxious. Each child then attended a programme of therapy. At the end of the programme, each mother rated her child again, using the same anxiety scale. The scores for each child before and after therapy were used to calculate a median anxiety rating.

The data are shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median rating of anxiety</th>
<th>Before therapy</th>
<th>After therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median rating of anxiety</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Identify two symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder.

(b) Name and outline the experimental design used in this study.
A researcher wanted to investigate the effectiveness of a language therapy for children with autism. Before the therapy started, the mothers of 10 children with autism each rated the verbal interaction of their child. They used a rating scale of 1–10, where 1 meant very poor verbal interaction and 10 meant very good verbal interaction. Each child then attended a programme of language therapy. At the end of the programme, each mother rated her child again, using the same verbal interaction scale. The scores for each child before and after therapy were used to calculate a median verbal interaction rating.

The data are shown in the table below.

**Median ratings of children’s verbal interaction before and after therapy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before therapy</th>
<th>After therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median rating of verbal interaction</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Name and outline the experimental design used in this study.

(b) Explain one advantage of this experimental design.

(c) Explain what the median ratings in the table above indicate about the effectiveness of the language therapy.

A psychologist carried out an experiment using an independent groups design. The psychologist wished to investigate the effectiveness of a strategy for memory improvement. In one condition, participants were taught a memory improvement strategy. In the other condition, participants were not taught this memory improvement strategy. All participants were asked to memorise 10 pictures of familiar objects. For example, the first was a doll, the second was an apple. All participants were then given 50 pictures each, and asked to select the original 10.

The psychologist did a pilot study before carrying out the experiment. The results of the experiment are shown in the table below.

(a) Write a directional hypothesis for this experiment.

(b) Explain one advantage of this experimental design.

(c) Explain what the median ratings in the table above indicate about the effectiveness of the language therapy.
(b) Explain what is meant by an independent groups design.

______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________

(c) Explain one strength and one limitation of using an independent groups design.

Strength
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________

Limitation
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________

(d) Explain why the psychologist did a pilot study.

______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________

The mean number of pictures correctly identified and standard deviations for participants with the memory improvement strategy and without the memory improvement strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With memory improvement strategy</th>
<th>Without memory improvement strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Psychologists sometimes use case studies to study children. One example was of a boy who was discovered at the age of six. He had been kept in a darkened room and had had almost no social contact with people.

(a) How could a psychologist maintain confidentiality when reporting a case study?

(b) Psychologists use a range of techniques to gather information in case studies. Outline one technique which the psychologist could use in this case study.
(c) Apart from ethical issues, explain one or more limitations of using case studies.

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

Extra space

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

(4) (Total 8 marks)

27 Some research has suggested that there is a relationship between the time children spend in day care and their aggressive behaviour. Researchers selected a group of school children who had been in day care. They asked the children's mothers to estimate how many hours a week their children had spent in day care. They measured the same children's aggression.
(a) How many children are represented in this scattergram?

____________________________________________________________________

(1)

(b) Suggest one way in which the children’s aggression could be measured.

____________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________

(2)
(c) In the media, it was claimed that the results showed spending time in day care caused the children to become aggressive.

Explain why this claim may be untrue.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(3)
(Total 6 marks)

28 Psychologists sometimes use questionnaires to find out about stress. Explain two strengths of using questionnaires in research.

Strength one __________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Strength two __________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(Total 4 marks)
Two different drug therapies were tested on a group of patients. All the patients suffered with the same anxiety disorder. Half the patients were given Therapy A and the other half were given Therapy B. Improvement was assessed on a scale from 0-25, where 0 = no improvement.

The table below shows the improvement made between the start and the end of the treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Therapy A</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2 – 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy B</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 – 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain what these findings suggest about the different therapies?

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

Extra space

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(Total 4 marks)
It is thought that colours might affect our performance when carrying out certain tasks. Research in this area has been inconclusive. Some studies have shown that red improves performance but others have found the opposite. It could be that these contradictory results have arisen because red is beneficial only for certain kinds of mental processing. Some psychologists tested this hypothesis in a series of independent-groups design experiments using students at a Canadian university.

The experiments involved computer tasks, with either a red, blue or neutral background appearing on the monitor. The researchers found that participants were better at a word-recall task and a spell-checking task when the screen background was red rather than blue or neutral. However, participants thought of more creative ideas when the screen was blue rather than red or neutral.

The researchers concluded that red is beneficial for tasks that require attention to detail whereas blue aids creativity.

(a) What were the researchers’ aims in this study?

Imagine that you are writing up the report for this series of experiments.

(b) What is the purpose of the introduction section of a report?

A psychological report also contains a discussion section. Researchers are expected to consider their findings critically and discuss issues such as validity.

(c) What is meant by validity?

(d) In the discussion section, researchers are also expected to consider any possible applications of their research. Suggest one practical application that might arise from these findings.

In a further experiment, participants were given 20 blue shapes or 20 red shapes. They were then asked to pick 5 shapes and use them to make a toy suitable for a child aged between five and eleven years. They were given a limited time to carry out this task. Participants given red shapes made toys that independent judges rated to be more practical but less original, whereas participants given blue shapes made more creative toys.

(e) Explain why the researchers asked independent judges to rate the toys.

(f) Write a set of standardised instructions that would be suitable to read out to participants in this experiment.

(Total 14 marks)
Psychological research suggests an association between birth order and certain abilities. For example, first-born children are often logical in their thinking whereas later-born children tend to be more creative. A psychologist wonders whether this might mean that birth order is associated with different career choices. She decides to investigate and asks 50 artists and 65 lawyers whether they were the first-born child in the family or not.

(a) Write a non-directional hypothesis for this study.

(b) Identify an appropriate sampling method for this study and explain how the psychologist might have obtained such a sample.

The psychologist found the following results:
- 20 of the 50 artists were first-born children
- 35 of the 65 lawyers were first-born children.

She analysed her data using a statistical test and calculated a value of $\chi^2 = 2.27$. She then looked at the relevant table to see whether this value was statistically significant. An extract from the table is provided below.

**Table: Critical values of $\chi^2$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of significance for a one-tailed test</th>
<th>0.10</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.025</th>
<th>0.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of significance for a two-tailed test</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$df$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated value of $\chi^2$ must be equal to or exceed the table (critical) values for significance at the level shown.

(c) Imagine that you are writing the results section of the report on this investigation. Using information from the description of the study above and the relevant information from the statistical table, provide contents suitable for the results section.

You must provide all of the following:
- an appropriately labelled $2 \times 2$ contingency table
- a sketch of an appropriately labelled bar chart
- identification of the appropriate statistical test with justification for its use
- identification of an appropriate significance level
- a statement of the results of the statistical test in relation to the hypothesis.

(Total 17 marks)
Psychologists often need to select participants to take part in research. The descriptions below are all types of sampling method.

A  The psychologist puts an advert in a newspaper, asking for participants.

B  The psychologist uses lists of students in a university and selects every tenth student to take part.

C  The psychologist asks some of his psychology students to take part in the research.

D  The psychologist gives a number to all students in a university, then selects participants in an unbiased way.

In the table below, write which description, A, B, C, or D, matches each sampling method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Random Sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity Sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Total 3 marks)
Psychologists carried out a laboratory experiment to investigate the effectiveness of cognitive interviews. All participants watched the same film of a robbery. They were randomly allocated to Group One or Group Two. Participants were then asked to recall the robbery. The investigators used a cognitive interview to access recall of participants in Group One and a standard interview to access recall of participants in Group Two.

The results of this experiment are summarised in the Figure below.

(a) What experimental design was used in this experiment?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(b) Explain one limitation of the design that was used in this experiment.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(1)

(2)
(c) Explain what the results suggest about the effectiveness of the cognitive interview.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(d) Participants in the standard interview were simply asked to describe what happened in the film.

Suggest one way in which participants in the cognitive interview condition could have been asked to recall what happened.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(2)

(e) What is meant by the term investigator effects? Explain possible investigator effects in this study.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Extra space ______________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(4)  
(Total 11 marks)
A psychologist assessed the aggressive behaviour of 100 five-year-old children who were starting school. The children had attended day care for at least 20 hours a week. Fifty of the children had attended day nurseries. The other fifty children had been looked after by childminders. The children who attended the day nurseries were more aggressive than the children who had been looked after by childminders.

(a) Explain why this is an example of a natural experiment.

(b) Suggest one way in which the psychologist could have measured the children’s aggressive behaviour.
(c) Explain **two** ethical issues which the psychologist should have considered when carrying out this research.

**Issue 1**

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

**Extra space**

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

**Issue 2**

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

**Extra space**

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

(4)

(Total 8 marks)

---

Questionnaires have been used by psychologists to investigate stress.

Explain **one** possible ethical issue that might arise when using questionnaires in this area of psychology.

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

(Total 2 marks)
A psychologist collected data on the effectiveness of three types of therapies for different types of disorders. The results are displayed in the bar chart below.

Percentage of patients who improved according to type of disorder and type of therapy

What conclusions about the effectiveness of different therapies can you draw from the bar chart?

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

Extra space

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________

(Total 4 marks)
A teacher has worked in the same primary school for two years. While chatting to the children, she is concerned to find that the majority of them come to school without having eaten a healthy breakfast. In her opinion, children who eat ‘a decent breakfast’ learn to read more quickly and are better behaved than children who do not. She now wants to set up a pre-school breakfast club for the children so that they can all have this beneficial start to the day. The local authority is not willing to spend money on this project purely on the basis of the teacher’s opinion and insists on having scientific evidence for the claimed benefits of eating a healthy breakfast.

(a) Explain why the teacher’s personal opinion cannot be accepted as scientific evidence. Refer to some of the major features of science in your answer.

A psychologist at the local university agrees to carry out a study to investigate the claim that eating a healthy breakfast improves reading skills. He has access to 400 five-year-old children from 10 local schools, and decides to use 100 children (50 in the experimental group and 50 in the control group). Since the children are so young, he needs to obtain parental consent for them to take part in his study.

(b) The psychologist used a random sampling method. Explain how he could have obtained his sample using this method.

(c) Explain limitations of using random sampling in this study.

(d) Explain why it is important to operationalise the independent variable and the dependent variable in this study and suggest how the psychologist might do this.

(e) The psychologist used a Mann-Whitney test to analyse the data. Give two reasons why he chose this test.

(f) He could have used a matched pairs design. Explain why this design would have been more difficult to use in this study.

(g) Other than parental consent, identify one ethical issue raised in this study and explain how the psychologist might address it.
A researcher carried out an experiment to investigate how many numbers could be held in short-term memory. The participants were 15 children and 15 adults. Participants were asked to repeat lists of random numbers, in the correct order, as soon as they were read out by the researcher. For example, when the researcher said, “3, 4, 2, 8” the participant immediately repeated “3, 4, 2, 8”. When the researcher then said, “7, 5, 9, 6, 4” the participant immediately repeated “7, 5, 9, 6, 4”. One number was added to the list each time until participants were unable to recall the list correctly. Each participant’s maximum digit span was recorded.

(a) Write an appropriate non-directional hypothesis for this experiment.

(b) Explain why the researcher used an independent groups design for this experiment.
Write the mode for each group in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) What does the frequency distribution show about the results?

_________________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________________
(e) Do the results of this experiment support the findings of other research into the capacity of short-term memory? Explain your answer.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(2) (Total 11 marks)

39 Explain why it might be better to carry out research into eyewitness testimony in the real world, rather than in a laboratory.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(Total 3 marks)

40 A psychologist investigated the relationship between type of attachment in childhood and success in later adult relationships. He published a questionnaire in a local newspaper. The participants were people who read the newspaper, filled in the questionnaire and sent it to the psychologist. Participants' answers to the questions were used to decide whether they had been securely or insecurely attached as children. The participants who were identified as securely attached children were more likely to have successful adult relationships than those identified as insecurely attached children.

(a) Identify the sampling technique used in this study. Outline one weakness of using this sampling method.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(3)
(b) Identify one ethical issue the researcher would need to consider in this research. Suggest how the researcher could deal with this ethical issue.

_____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

(3) (Total 6 marks)

41. Questionnaires and interviews are both self-report techniques. Explain one advantage and one disadvantage of using a questionnaire rather than an interview.

Advantage ____________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Disadvantage

_____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

(Total 4 marks)

42. Observation in a Strange Situation has been used to investigate cultural variations in attachment.

Give one advantage of using observation in psychological research.

_____________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

(Total 2 marks)

43. Outline what is meant by the term peer review in psychological research.

(Total 2 marks)
Explain why peer review is important in psychological research.  
(Total 5 marks)

Read the text below and answer following questions.

A psychologist was interested in looking at the effects of a restricted diet on psychological functioning. A group of 20 healthy, young adult volunteers agreed to spend four weeks in a research unit. They were kept warm and comfortable but given only water and small amounts of plain food. They were able to socialise with one another and watch television, but they had to keep to strict, set mealtimes and were not allowed to eat anything between meals. The psychologist carried out various tests of emotional and cognitive functioning during this four-week period. One area of interest for the psychologist was the effect of the dietary restriction on the perception of food. He tested this by asking the volunteers to draw pictures of food at the end of each week. When all the drawings had been completed, the psychologist used content analysis to analyse them.

(a) What is meant by the term content analysis?  
(1)

(b) Explain how the psychologist might have carried out content analysis to analyse these drawings.  
(3)

(c) The psychologist needed to be sure that his participants understood the nature of the study so that they were able to give informed consent.

Write a consent form which would be suitable for this study. Make sure there is sufficient information about the study for the participants to make an informed decision.  
(5)

(Total 9 marks)

The psychologist was also interested in the effects of a restricted diet on memory functioning and he expected memory to become impaired. The psychologist’s hypothesis was that participants’ scores on a memory test are lower after a restricted diet than before a restricted diet. He gave the volunteers a memory test when they first arrived in the research unit and a similar test at the end of the four-week period. He recorded the memory scores on both tests and analysed them using the Wilcoxon signed ranks test. He set his significance level at 5%.

His calculated value was \( T = 53 \).

State whether the hypothesis for this study is directional or non–directional.  
(1)
(b) **Table: Extract from table of critical values from the Wilcoxon signed ranks test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of significance for a one-tailed test</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.025</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of significance for a two-tailed test</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated \( T \) must be equal to or less than the critical value (table value) for significance at the level shown.

Using the table above, state whether or not the psychologist’s result was significant. Explain your answer.  
(3)

(Total 4 marks)

---

(a) Use the data in the Table above to sketch a scattergram. Label the axes and give the scattergram a title.  
(4)

(b) Using the data in the Table above, explain why the psychologist is concerned about inter-rater reliability.  
(4)
(c) Identify an appropriate statistical test to check the inter-rater reliability of these two observers. Explain why this is an appropriate test. (3)

(d) If the psychologist does find low reliability, what could she do to improve inter-rater reliability before proceeding with the observational research? (4)

(Total 15 marks)

A case study was carried out on Peter whose brain was damaged in a motorcycle accident. Psychologists tested how many numbers he could hold in his short-term memory. They did this by reading him lists of numbers and asking him to recall the numbers immediately in the right order. He could recall a maximum of two items. The psychologists found that his long-term memory was normal.

(a) How was Peter’s short-term memory after the accident different from most adults’ short-term memory?

.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
................................................................................................................................. (2)

(b) Does this case study support the multi-store model of memory? Explain your answer.

.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
................................................................................................................................. Extra space
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
.................................................................................................................................
(c) Identify one ethical issue associated with this case study of Peter. Suggest how psychologists could deal with this ethical issue.

Ethical issue ________________________________________________________________

How psychologists could deal with this ethical issue __________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

(4)
(Total 10 marks)

49 One measure of the functioning of the immune system is the level of activity of white blood cells.

What does the graph below tell you about the relationship between stress and the level of activity of white blood cells?

**Relationship between stress and the level of activity of white blood cells**
Outline one strength and one weakness of using correlations in stress research.

Strength

Weakness
In an experiment into conformity, an experimenter varied both the number of confederates (stooges) and the ambiguity of the task. The bar chart below shows the findings.

**Percentages of conformity for different tasks and size of majority**

![Bar chart showing percentages of conformity]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>Key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large majority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8 confederates in group)</td>
<td>Very ambiguous task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small majority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 confederates in group)</td>
<td>Very easy and unambiguous task</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What does the bar chart show about conformity?

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
A psychologist was interested in testing a new treatment for people with eating disorders. She put up adverts in several London clinics to recruit participants. Thirty people came forward and they were all given a structured interview by a trained therapist. The therapist then calculated a numerical score for each participant as a measure of their current functioning, where 50 indicates excellent, healthy functioning and zero indicates failure to function adequately. The psychologist then randomly allocated half the participants to a treatment group and half to a no-treatment group. After eight weeks, each participant was re-assessed using a structured interview conducted by the same trained therapist, and given a new numerical score. The trained therapist did not know which participants had been in either group.

For each participant, the psychologist calculated an improvement score by subtracting the score at the start of the study from the score after eight weeks. The greater the number, the better the improvement.

| Median and range of improvement scores for the treatment group and for the no-treatment group |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Treatment group | No–treatment group |
| Median           | 10.9              | 2.7             |
| Range            | 2.1               | 0.8             |

(a) With reference to the data in the table above, outline what the findings of this investigation seem to show about the effectiveness of the treatment.

(b) The psychologist used a statistical test to find out whether there was a significant difference in improvement between the ‘treatment’ and ‘no-treatment’ groups. She found a significant difference at the 5% level for a one-tailed test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Identify an appropriate statistical test for analysing the participants’ scores. Explain why it would be a suitable test to use in this study.
(c) What is the likelihood of the psychologist having made a Type 1 error in this study? Explain your answer.

(d) The psychologist assumed that improvements in the treatment group were a direct result of the new type of treatment. Suggest two other reasons why people in the treatment group might have improved.

(e) The psychologist could have used self-report questionnaires to assess the participants instead of using interviews with the therapist. Explain one advantage and one disadvantage of using self-report questionnaires in this study rather than interviews.

(f) The psychologist needed to obtain informed consent from her participants. Write a brief consent form which would be suitable for this study. You should include some details of what participants could expect to happen in the study and how they would be protected.

(g) What is meant by reliability? Explain how the reliability of the scores in this study could be checked.

(h) The psychologist noticed that female and male participants seemed to have responded rather differently to the treatment.

She decided to test the following hypothesis:

Female patients with an eating disorder will show greater improvement in their symptoms after treatment with the new therapy than male patients.

She used a new set of participants and, this time, used self-report questionnaires instead of interviews with a therapist.

Imagine that you are the psychologist and are writing up the report of the study. Write an appropriate methods section which includes reasonable detail of design, participants, materials and procedure. Make sure that there is enough detail to allow another researcher to carry out this study in the future.

(Total 35 marks)

Some psychology students read about an experiment which suggested that organisation is a useful strategy for improving memory. The students carried out an experiment to investigate the effects of organisation on word recall. They made up a list of 50 items that could be bought in a supermarket. The participants were teachers at their school. One group of participants saw the words organised into categories such as fruit, vegetables, dairy products and cleaning materials. The other group saw the same words presented randomly.
The results are given in the **Table** below.

The number of words correctly recalled by participants who saw the organised list and participants who saw the random list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Organised List</th>
<th>Random List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Measure of central tendency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of dispersion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(a) Identify a suitable measure of central tendency that could be used with these data. Justify your answer.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(b) The psychology students decided to use a volunteer sample. Suggest **one** way in which this sample could be obtained.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

(2)
A recent study recorded the amount of time that children spent in day care from birth to four years, and asked each child’s mother to rate her child for aggression and disobedience. The study found that, as the time spent in day care went up, the mothers’ rating of aggression and disobedience also went up.

(a) What kind of correlation is this research showing?

(b) Outline one strength and one weakness of using correlational research to investigate the effects of day care.

Strength

Weakness
Research has suggested that institutionalisation can have negative effects on children. In the 1990s, many children were found living in poor quality orphanages in Romania. Luca had lived in one of these orphanages from birth. When he was four years old, he was adopted and he left the orphanage to live in Canada. His development was then studied for a number of years.

(a) Outline possible negative effects of institutionalisation on Luca.

Extra space

(b) The scenario above is an example of a case study. Outline one strength and one limitation of this research method.

Strength

Limitation

(Total 8 marks)
By observing interactions between the infants and their mothers in a Strange Situation, Mary Ainsworth was able to identify different types of attachment.

Describe possible demand characteristics in this research.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
(Total 3 marks)

A psychologist showed participants 100 different cards, one at a time.

Each card had two unrelated words printed on it, eg DOG, HAT.

Participants in one group were instructed to form a mental image to link the words. Participants in the other group were instructed simply to memorise the words. After all the word pairs had been presented, each participant was shown a card with the first word of each pair printed on it. Participants were asked to recall the second word.

The following results were found.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of words correctly recalled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental Image Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) What is the independent variable (IV) in this study?
(b) What is the dependent variable (DV) in this study?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
(2)

(c) What experimental design was used in this study?

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
(1)

(d) Explain one strength of this experimental design in the context of this study.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
(2)

(e) Explain how a psychologist could find out whether these results are reliable.

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
(2)

(Total 9 marks)
Psychologists have carried out research into the use of cognitive interviews. One possible ethical issue which might arise during this research is protection of participants from harm. Explain how psychologists could deal with this ethical issue.

___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(Total 3 marks)

One situation in which disruption of attachment can occur is when a mother of a young child is admitted into hospital. A researcher decided to study the behaviour of a two year old boy who experienced this disruption of attachment.

She decided to use naturalistic observation of the boy both before his mother was admitted into hospital and after she returned home. Each period of observation lasted for one hour.

(a) Suggest two suitable behavioural categories the researcher could use to record the boy’s behaviour.
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(2)

(b) How might the researcher record the boy’s behaviour during the one-hour observation?
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________

(2)
A psychologist analysed the results of ‘Strange Situation’ studies from different countries. Some of the results are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (and number of studies)</th>
<th>% of each type of attachment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country One (2)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Two (18)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Three (4)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Outline what the table above shows about cultural variations in attachment.

__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________________

(3)
(b) Explain one criticism of investigating cultural variations in attachment using the ‘Strange Situation.’

________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

(3)
(Total 6 marks)
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) \( [\text{AO3} = 2] \)

One mark for either B or C.

One mark for an appropriate advantage of using open questions. Likely points: open questions provide depth / detail / greater diversity of responses / more meaningful information in the response; they avoid participant frustration associated with fixed choice responses.

(b) \( [\text{AO3} = 2] \)

One mark for an appropriate conclusion that might be drawn, eg: the majority of people **regard themselves** as kind and helpful people. (Accept alternatives such as ‘see themselves, believe or think they are / say they would’)

One mark for justification of the answer with reference to the data given, eg: the number of people who reported they would help the person is much higher than any other response given (about 75% said they would help the person).

Accept other valid conclusions with an appropriate matching justification.

(c) \( [\text{AO3} = 2] \)

Up to 2 marks for an appropriate experimental hypothesis. For full credit the hypothesis must be a testable statement and contain both the IV and DV.

Possible answers for 2 marks:
Non-directional: There is a difference in the number of participants who go to help / help someone when the participant waits alone and when the participant waits with another person.

Directional: More participants who wait alone go to help / help someone than participants who wait with another person. (Accept ‘Fewer’.)

Accept null version of the hypothesis.

Possible answers for 1 mark:

There will be a difference in the number of participants who go to help / help in Condition 1 and Condition 2

People who wait alone are more likely to go to help / help than people than people who wait with someone else.
(d) **[AO3 = 3]**

One mark for identification of a possible extraneous variable.
Likely answers: the behaviour of the interviewer who ‘falls’; the behaviour of the confederate in the waiting room. Accept EVs based on participant variables eg gender and appropriate condition variables such as ‘noise.’

One mark for explaining why the EV should be controlled.

One mark for explaining how it could be controlled.

Possible answers:
The behaviour of the interviewer who ‘falls’ must be the same – the same sounds and cries so that each participant has the same incident to react to. This could be controlled by using a taped recording of the falling and crying out.
The behaviour of the confederate must be the same so that each participant has the same environment in the waiting room. This could be controlled by using the same person as a confederate who has a script he / she follows for each participant.

(e) **[AO3 = 3]**

One mark for identification of the experimental design as independent groups / measures.

Up to 2 marks for explanation of why this is a suitable design for this study.
Likely points: the participants can only be exposed to the person ‘falling’ once (1) as they will then have some understanding of what the study is trying to find out and their behaviour will be affected by this knowledge (lack of naivety) (1).

Maximum of 1 mark for generic explanations not linked explicitly to the study

(f) **[AO3 = 2]**

Up to 2 marks for an outline of the procedure of random sampling:

Possible answer:
Put the name of every first year student at the university into a hat (number every first year student)(1).
Draw out 40 names or numbers for the sample (use a random number table / computer program to generate a set of 40 numbers – this represents the sample) (1).

(g) **[AO3 = 2]**

One mark for an appropriate suggestion.
Likely answer: Bar chart / bar graph, frequency graph. Accept pie chart.

One mark for justification of the suggestion.
Likely point: the display clearly demonstrates the numerical difference between the two conditions. Credit discrete data / categorical data.

If more than one graphical display is listed – mark the first answer.
(h) [AO3 = 4]

For each of the TWO points, allow one mark for identification of the point and one further mark for discussion of why that point should be raised when the participants are debriefed. Max 2 marks for each point.

For full marks at least one of these points must focus on imparting the aim / purpose of the study or detail of the two conditions.

One further mark for discussion of the chosen point.

**Maximum 2 marks if only ethical issue(s) discussed.** These 2 marks can only be given for one ethical issue (1) that is appropriately discussed (1).

Likely points: explanation of the aim of the study; explanation of the use of independent groups; ethical issues, (these include deception, protection from harm / treating participants with respect; right to withdraw data from the study.)

Verbatim answers are likely to be credited with a maximum of two marks as there would be no discussion / explanation.

2 Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) [AO3 = 1]

One mark for the independent variable.
Likely answers: the context of recall / whether participants recalled the words in the same room or a different room / the classroom or the school hall.
Reference to both conditions might be implicit rather than clearly stated.
(b) \[ \text{AO3} = 1, \text{AO2} = 2 \]

**AO3**

Award one mark for stating the likely outcome. Likely answers: Participants who learned and recalled in the same context are likely to recall more words than those who learned and recalled in different contexts / there will be a higher mean number of words recalled in Condition 1 than Condition 2. Accept alternative wording.

**AO2**

Award up to two marks for explanation of the likely outcome based on knowledge of retrieval failure as an explanation for forgetting. Credit reference to environmental cues / context triggering recall; the absence of cues / context in Condition 2.

For two AO2 marks there must be some reference to condition two’s participants failing to retrieve / recall information. Credit use of evidence and / or use of an example as part of the discussion.

(c) \[ \text{AO3} = 2 \]

Award up to two marks for an explanation of how random allocation to one of the two conditions might have been carried out. Two marks for a full explanation, one mark for a brief / vague answer.

Possible answer: All participants’ names / numbers are placed into a hat / lottery system / computer (1) the first name drawn is assigned to condition one, the next to condition two / the first twenty are allocated to condition one, the second twenty to condition two (1).

(d) \[ \text{AO3} = 2 \]

Award up to two marks for an explanation of how participants could be matched and then allocated to the two conditions for a matched pairs design.

Possible answer: Participants are paired on some relevant variable (eg memory ability, IQ, age, etc.), (1) and then one from each pair is allocated to each condition (1).

Answers based on the use of identical twins can get full marks as long as there is some reference to the idea that twins are likely to have a similar level of recall.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- **AO1** knowledge and understanding
- **AO2** application (of psychological knowledge)
- **AO3** evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
(a) **AO3 = 2**

One aim of the investigation is to see if the age of participants affects their ability to identify a person.

(Credit relevant alternatives)
1 mark for a very brief or muddled aim eg to investigate whether participants can identify a man in a photograph or to investigate EWT or to investigate memory. For 2 marks the aim must be more detailed eg to investigate the effect on EWT or to investigate EWT in a natural setting.

(b) **AO3 = 2**

Participants are less likely to show demand characteristics because in the first part of the experiment they are unaware they are taking part and so are likely to respond more genuinely. In real life settings research has high validity because the findings can be generalised to other similar situations. It is therefore more likely to be relevant to eyewitness testimony in court cases.

1 mark for a very brief or muddled answer eg high ecological validity.
2 marks for accurate elaboration.

(c) **AO3 = 4**

Opportunity sample 1 mark. Volunteer or random = 0 marks.

One limitation is the lack of a target population. This means that the sample is not representative of any population so there are problems in generalising the findings. However, selecting participants for availability is an appropriate way to select a sample when no names are available. Comparison with alternative sampling methods is creditworthy. 1 mark for identifying a limitation or advantage eg biased sampling. Further marks for accurate elaboration or identification of further limitations / advantages.

Candidates may refer to one or more limitations, advantages or both. Candidates who identify the sample incorrectly can still gain marks for correct evaluation of opportunity sampling.

(d) **AO3 = 4**

Extraneous variables are anything other than the independent variable that could affect the dependent variable. In this study they could include situational variables such as how the researcher asked for directions or time of day, and participant variables such as gender or eyesight.

1 mark for identification of any possible extraneous variable in this study. Eg One possible extraneous variable is the length of time the researcher spends with each participant.

3 marks for accurate explanation of how this variable could have affected this study. This might have affected the results of this study because old people are more likely to have time to stop and chat than younger participants. They therefore spend longer giving directions and would therefore find it easier to identify the researcher.

1 mark for very brief or slightly muddled explanation.

Further marks for accurate elaboration.
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 3**

Advantages of using a questionnaire in this study could include that data from the hundred adults could be collected relatively quickly because the researcher would not need to be present when the questionnaires were completed; participants might be more willing to answer honestly because they would feel more anonymous; there might be a reduction in investigator effects because the researcher's reactions would not be visible. The advantage must be one that could be applied to this study.

1 mark for a slightly muddled or very brief outline of an advantage. Further marks for accurate elaboration.

(b) **AO3 = 2**

Qualitative is non-numerical and uses words to give a full description of what people think or feel.

1 mark for a very brief or slightly muddled answer eg qualitative data uses words.

2nd mark for accurate elaboration eg by comparison or by using an example.

(c) **AO3 = 2**

One mark for a question which would produce qualitative data but is not appropriate eg "How are you feeling?"

Two marks for an appropriate question eg "Tell me what it was like in the institution"

(Full marks can be awarded if it is not in the form of a question)

0 marks for a question that would not produce qualitative data.

(d) **AO3 = 1 + 1 + 3**

There are no ethical issues named in the specification, so any potentially relevant issues should be credited.

Likely ethical issues include informed consent, right to withdraw, protection from harm, confidentiality, respect or the need for debriefing in this particular case.

Other issues such as deception (deliberate or by omission) can be credited as they could apply in this research.

One mark each for identification of a relevant ethical issue.

One mark for a brief mention of how the issue could be dealt with.

Two further marks for elaboration appropriate to this research.

There is a depth / breadth trade-off. Candidates may explain one way of dealing with the issue in some depth, or mention several ways (of dealing with one issue) more briefly.

Ethical issue one eg, right to withdraw (1 mark); ethical issue two eg confidentiality (1 mark); Don't identify the participants (1 mark). Don't use photographs or names in published research. Names of people and / or places should be changed (2 further marks).
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

**AO3 = 4**

The data suggest that the confederates have a considerable influence on whether or not the participant obeys; candidates could consider the implications of the difference between 92.5% and 10%. They might consider whether the confederates are acting as role models, informing the participant how to behave. Credit could also include comparison of power of confederates with power of having the experimenter in the same room.

The question is not just asking candidates to describe the data in the table, but to consider the effect that the confederates have, to access the top bands answers need to be shaped to fit the question.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO3 Interpretation of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>4 marks  Accurate and reasonably detailed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurate and reasonably detailed answer that demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of what the data suggest about obedience. There is appropriate selection of material to address the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 marks  Less detailed but generally accurate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less detailed but generally accurate answer that demonstrates relevant knowledge and understanding. There is some evidence of selection of material to address the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 marks  Basic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic answer that demonstrates some relevant knowledge and understanding but lacks detail and may be muddled. There is little evidence of selection of material to address the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 mark  Very brief/flawed or inappropriate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very brief or flawed answer demonstrating very little knowledge. Selection and presentation of information is largely or wholly inappropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No creditworthy material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 2**

A case study is an in-depth study of one person or a group of people over time. It is usually carried out in the real world. They are idiographic and very individualistic.

1 mark for a basic answer and a further mark for elaboration. Note that the answer does not have to be linked to psychopathology.

(b) **AO3 = 2**

Weakness of case study:

- Findings cannot be generalised very easily to other individuals.

- The information gathered is often based on retrospective data, which might not be accurate.

- Because it is very difficult to replicate a case study they lack reliability.

- As case studies are unique situations it is difficult to generalise (to other situations).

1 mark for a basic answer and a further mark for elaboration. Note that the answer does not need to be linked to psychopathology. Reference to ethical issues are not creditworthy.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.
AO1 = 5

Replicability is the ability to check and verify scientific information.

Candidates could explain replicability as:

• the ability to repeat the method to assess if similar findings are achieved
• the ability to achieve similar findings.

Award 1 mark for a brief definition of replicability.

Tautological definitions eg merely stating that ‘replicability is the ability to replicate’ should not be awarded credit.

Replicability is an important part of the scientific process. Scientific method involves defining a problem and formulating a hypothesis which is tested with empirical research. Research findings are an important part of this process. If we wish to draw conclusions from research studies, the procedures and findings should be repeatable. Unrepeatable results may imply flaws or lack of control within the method used and are of limited use in theory construction.

Award up to 4 marks for an explanation of why replicability is important. This is likely to be contextualised within a description of the scientific method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO1 mark bands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) AO2 / AO3 = 3

A suitable non-directional hypothesis would be ‘There is a correlation (relationship) between pupils’ scores on a test of mathematical ability and pupils’ scores on a test of musical ability’.

3 marks for a fully operationalised non-directional hypothesis.
2 marks for non-directional hypothesis that identifies both variables but does not operationalise them.
1 mark for non-directional hypothesis where the variables are not identified.
No marks for a null or directional hypothesis or one referring to association or difference.
(b) **AO2 / AO3 = 3**

The main issue is that the teacher has made up her own test:

- This involved subjective judgement on the part of the teacher who rates the students’ musical ability. Her judgement may not reflect real differences in musical ability and is likely to differ from other people’s judgement and/or any absolute criteria for tunefulness.

- Lack of reliability in rating musical ability would compromise the validity of the measure.

- As the students can choose the song they will sing, the rating of ability could reflect the teacher liking/dislike of the song rather than the student’s ability.

- The rating may be invalid as the students selected songs which varied in difficulty so the tunefulness reflected the difficulty of the song not the students’ ability.

- Operationalising musical ability as tuneful singing is a very narrow measure. Someone can have musical ability such as playing an instrument which would not be reflected by this measure.

1 mark for identifying an appropriate reason.
2 further marks for elaboration, explanation of why it is a problem, how it might affect the result or for further reason(s).
Note that 3 marks can be awarded for one reason elaborated or more than one reason in less detail.

(c) **AO2 / AO3 = 3**

In the case of the maths test candidates could refer to split half or test retest as methods of checking reliability. They could also refer to checking the reliability of scoring by using two separate markers for the test and comparing the scores. Credit any other appropriate suggestion.

1 mark for identifying an appropriate method or a brief explanation eg ‘repeat the maths test’.
2 further marks for appropriate elaboration.

(d) **AO2 / AO3 = 2**

The teacher chose to use a random sample because it would probably be more representative of the whole GCSE group than if she had used an opportunity or volunteer sample. Candidates could also say that she had ready access to her target population making it convenient for her to select a random sample.

No credit for definition of a random sample.
1 mark for a brief or muddled reason (it is not biased).
2 marks for a reason that clearly points to an advantage of random sampling. This could be achieved through a comparison with another method (it is less likely to be biased than a volunteer sample).
(e) \[ \text{AO2 / AO3} = 3 \]

Credit should only be awarded for scattergraphs. Other graphs gain 0 marks.

1 mark for appropriately plotted scores.
1 mark for an appropriate title.
1 mark for correctly labelled axes.
Up to 3 marks for a discussion of the relationship between mathematical and musical ability. Likely points include:

- The graph seems to show a negative correlation between mathematical and musical ability.
- This means that high scorers in mathematical ability tend to achieve low scores on musical ability and vice versa.
- The presence of two strong outliers, means that the actual correlation is very weak and closer to zero.
- Comment on the small sample size which limits the conclusions that could be drawn.
- Credit can be achieved for plausible interpretations of the strength of the correlation which are justified (ie looks moderate to strong or the outliers make it weak in practice) or those based on rough calculations (around -0.2).

1 mark for a very brief answer eg negative correlation or zero correlation.
2 further marks for elaboration/discussion this could be focused on one point in detail or several points in less detail.
In this question, candidates are asked to design a study to test if there is a difference between left-handed and right-handed students in musical ability.

**Design – 1 mark**

- Award 1 mark for identification of an appropriate design (independent measures or matched pairs).

**Sampling – 2 marks**

- Award 1 mark for explaining an appropriate sampling method and 1 further mark for justifying why this method would be appropriate. As left-handed people are less common in the population than right-handed people this needs to be addressed in the sampling method.

**Procedure and assessment of musical ability – 4 marks**

Award 1 mark for procedure, 1 mark for assessing musical ability and two further marks for elaboration of either or both of these.

- Description of the procedure eg each participant will be given a standardised musical ability test, participants should be tested within a controlled environment, with minimal noise or distraction.

- Students are required to suggest a plausible alternative method of assessing musical ability to the one in the stem (eg singing a short, novel phrase played on the piano). Further credit could be given for stating that the test should be identical for all students or for explaining how it will be assessed.

**Debrief – 3 marks**

- Award up to 3 marks for writing a debrief. This could include the aim of the study, thanking participants for taking part, asking if they have any questions, relevant ethical considerations.

- If this is not suitable to be read out to participants, maximum 1 mark.
(h) **AO2 / AO3 = 3**

Award 1 mark for a clear table appropriate for the study described in (h).

**Musical ability scores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant number</th>
<th>Left handed</th>
<th>Right handed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Award 1 mark for the identification of an appropriate statistical test for the proposed design. Award 1 mark for one correct justification eg a test of difference, at least ordinal level data.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **[AO3 = 2]**

One mark for an appropriate conclusion. One further mark for explanation / justification of the conclusion.

Possible answer: Participants in Group B were influenced by / conformed to / went along with / were led by / affected by the other estimates they had seen (1 mark for conclusion) because the median estimate for Group B was closer to the scores on the list (1). Accept ‘higher than’ Group A.

(b) **[AO3 = 2]**

One mark for identification of the IV, ie whether participants saw 5 other supposed estimates or not

OR

whether participants wrote their estimates on a blank piece of paper or below ‘other’ estimates.

One mark for identification of the DV, ie the estimated weight of the cake. Accept ‘estimate’.
(c) \[\text{AO3} = 3\]

Up to 3 marks for an explanation of how stratified sampling is achieved. Answer may be expressed in terms of pupils from a secondary school.

One mark each for reference to the following points:

- identification of strata
- proportions to be worked out
- random selection (within the strata)

(d) (i) \[\text{AO3} = 2\]

Up to 2 marks for an explanation of how the psychologist could have allocated the participants randomly to the two groups.

Possible answer: Each participant in the sample could be given a number which is put into a hat. The first 50 numbers drawn out of the hat would be allocated to one of the groups.

Or

50 A’s and 50 B’s could be put in a hat and each participant draws out a letter. The letter designates the group.

Credit alternative method

(ii) \[\text{AO3} = 2\]

One mark for an appropriate reason. One further mark for an explanation of that reason. Random allocation will reduce the likelihood of individual differences affecting the outcome.

Possible answer: Random allocation reduces researcher bias (1) and makes it more likely that the manipulation of the IV caused the results (1).

Accept increasing validity but do not accept reference to increasing reliability.

(e) \[\text{AO3} = 2\]

One mark for a plausible reason.

Likely answers: to prevent the participants guessing aim of the study / naivety; to prevent order effects.
Credit reference to the illogical nature of using the same participants in both groups in this study.

One mark for relating the reason to the study.
(f) **[AO3 = 3]**

One mark for knowledge of an appropriate ethical issue.

Likely answers: deception; consent; confidentiality, etc.
One mark for elaboration of the ethical issue or why the issue is important. One mark for relating the issue explicitly to the study.

**Note:** INFORMED consent is only to be given any credit if candidate explains how it would be totally inappropriate in this study. Such a response could achieve full marks if candidate goes on to explain how use of debrief would be a way of compensating for lack of information beforehand.

(g) (i) **[AO3 = 2]**

Up to 2 marks for an outline depending on detail.
Likely answers: a structured interview (1) in which the questions asked are prepared and in a set order. (1)
An unstructured interview (1) in which the researcher has an aim but no predetermined questions. (1)

Accept other types of interview, eg face-to-face, group, one-to-one, telephone.

(ii) **[AO3 = 2]**

Up to 2 marks for a limitation of the chosen interview method that is elaborated.

Structured interview: possible answers – no deviation from predetermined questions is allowed (1) this means that an unexpected response cannot be pursued for more detail. (1)
Or, structured interviews are more formal (1) and this might make it a more uncomfortable experience for the interviewee. (1)

Unstructured interview: possible answers – the data are difficult to analyse (1) as all the responses are individual and therefore hard to collate / summarise. (1)
Or, unstructured interviews may produce irrelevant information (1) because it is easy for the interviewer and / or interviewee to go off the focus or point of the investigation (1).

Accept other valid responses eg comparison with other types of interview.

---

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- **AO1** knowledge and understanding
- **AO2** application (of psychological knowledge)
- **AO3** evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
(a) **[AO3 = 3]**

One mark for identifying independent measures / groups / samples / unrelated design.

Up to 2 marks for an explanation of any relevant advantage of using this design in this study.
1 mark for an advantage, 1 mark for application to the study.

Possible answer:
As participants will either be approached by a confederate wearing uniform or a confederate in everyday clothing / as participants only take part in one condition (1), they are unlikely to guess the aim of the study / there are no order effects (1).

One mark can be awarded for an advantage that corresponds to an incorrectly identified design.

(b) **[AO3 = 2]**

Independent variable: whether the researcher was dressed in everyday clothing or a uniform / type of clothing.

Dependent variable: whether participants pick up litter / obey (or not) / the number of people who picked up a piece of litter.

No credit for “obedience” or “level of obedience” or “amount of obedience”.

- Award both marks for correct IV and DV that are not labelled but are in the order of the question.
- Award 1 mark for correct IV and DV that are not labelled and are not in the order of the question ie DV then IV.
- No credit for either IV or DV alone (if not labelled).

(c) **[AO1 = 1, AO2 = 2]**

**AO1**

1 mark for knowledge of likely outcome:
More people will pick up litter in Condition B than in Condition A / fewer people will pick up litter in Condition A than in Condition B.

**AO2**

2 marks for an explanation of the results based on application of obedience research to the scenario.

Possible answer: the confederate’s uniform (1), increased the legitimacy / authority / status of the demands or order given (1) or similar. Credit use of evidence as part of the explanation eg Bickman, Milgram.
(d) [AO2 = 1, AO3 = 1]

AO2

1 mark for plausible application of the issue to the study.

AO3

1 mark for knowledge / identification of a relevant ethical issue. Likely issues: (lack of) consent, opportunity to withdraw, deception, treating people with respect, protection from harm, confidentiality, debriefing.

Possible answer: An ethical issue in the study is lack of consent (1) because the researcher did not ask the participants if they wanted to be in the study (1).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) [AO3 = 1]

One mark for identification of laboratory experiment.

(b) [AO3 = 2]

Up to 2 marks for an explanation of an advantage of a laboratory experiment.

Possible answer: As the research takes part in a controlled environment, the researcher can eliminate the possible effect of extraneous variables.

Answers are likely to focus on advantages based on increased control of variables / increased causality / replicability.

The advantage can be credited if it corresponds with the answer in 6.

(c) [AO3 = 2]

Independent variable: whether the list of points was positive then negative or negative then positive / the order of the points / information. Answer must imply that there is more than one condition.

Dependent variable: whether (or not) they said Alex was ‘friendly’ / the number of participants who said Alex was ‘friendly’ / number of ‘friendly’ responses.

No credit for ‘level of friendliness’.

• Award both marks for correct IV and DV that are not labelled but are in the order of the question.
• Award 1 mark for correct IV and DV that are not labelled and are not in the order of the question ie DV then IV.
• No credit for either IV or DV alone (if not labelled).
One mark for identifying independent measures / groups / samples / unrelated design.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

[AO3 = 2]

One mark for knowledge of what is meant by quantitative data: data in numerical form; data representing how much there is of something. The second mark is for an example of a specific behaviour: such as Pavlov - a measure of the amount of saliva produced by the dog; or Skinner, the time taken to respond to a stimulus (to peck a button / press a lever / jump over a barrier / reach a goal box etc) or number of responses to a stimulus (pecks, lever presses in a given time period).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

[AO3 = 2]

One mark for each reason applied to the behaviourist approach. For two valid points only - allow 1 mark. Likely answers will probably include: subjectivity of qualitative data / open to interpretation; cannot be replicated; not open to quantification and statistical analysis; specific so not amenable to generalization; not associated with the scientific approach. Credit relevant comparison to quantitative data collection.

[AO3 = 4]

Allow one mark for outlining what is meant by replication: being able to copy the original experiment / research using the same methods and procedures in order to see if results are same or similar. One mark for reference to method (doing the same way). One mark for reference to results (if similar or not).

Up to two marks are for explaining the role of replication in the scientific approach. Candidates may focus on two reasons briefly or may choose to elaborate on a single reason. Possible points: increases confidence in results; theory strengthened through repeated attempts at refutation / falsification; reliability / consistency of findings supported; a condition of validity; generalisability increased. Credit answers embedded in an example.
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) \[\text{AO3} = 2\]

One mark for an explanation.
Inform researcher of spread of scores.
One mark for link to the study.
The programme did not seem to affect people in each condition differentially as spread of scores in each condition is similar / large.
The offenders’ anger behaviour showed a wide variation both before and after the programme.
Accept spread is greater in the after condition than before.

(b) \[\text{AO3} = 2\]

One mark for statement that the result is significant.
One mark for rationale: the calculated value of $T$ is 22 and is less than the critical value of 25 (at the 0.05 level of significance).
Can accept not significant at 0.02 level.

(c) \[\text{AO3} = 3\]

Maximum of 3 marks can be obtained from: one mark for each reason or two marks for each reason with explanation.

• Reason – ordinal level of measurement / non-parametric
  Explanation – self reports / estimated scores of anger; data might not be normally distributed
• Reason – design of the study is related / repeated measure
  Explanation – same people before and after
• Reason – research involving differences between the 2 sets of scores
  Explanation – anger scores before and after

(d) \[\text{AO3} = 4\]

Up to two marks for each reason and explanation. Likely points: as an aid to memory; a qualitative measure to supplement the quantitative data collected; to check the validity of the questionnaire; part of the therapeutic process / increased self-awareness.
Accept other valid reasons.
One mark for an appropriate reason and one mark for an explanation of the reason.
(e) \[\text{AO3 } = 3\]

Up to three marks for outlining how a control group could have improved this study: it is not possible to tell if the programme has caused the improvement; improvement could have been due to the programme or due to spontaneous recovery; by using a control group would make it more scientific; scores can be taken at the same times (pre-programme / post-programme) as in an experimental condition; post programme differences between the groups can inform if programme is effective; can be more confident in inferring cause and effect.

Allow a maximum of one mark for the general purpose of a control condition: acts as comparison / baseline measure where nothing changes

Accept ‘scientific’ and ‘validity’ only if justified.

(f) \[\text{AO3 } = 5\]

Up to 5 marks for addressing both reliability and validity. One of these marks must be for reference to statistical testing.

A maximum of three marks if only one of these is addressed.

One mark for identifying a type of validity: face validity; concurrent validity. Accept also content validity; criterion validity; predictive validity. Only accept identification mark if it matches how the assessment would be carried out.

One mark for outlining how the assessment would be carried out. For example in concurrent validity, scores from the questionnaire are compared with those from an established but similar questionnaire known to have good validity to see if the results are similar.

One mark for the statistical testing (checking for a positive correlation / applying Spearman’s rank order correlation).

One mark for identifying a way of assessing reliability. Most likely is test-retest but accept split-half reliability and item analysis. Only accept identification mark if it matches how the assessment would be carried out. Do not accept inter-rated / inter-observer reliability.

One mark for outlining how the assessment would be carried out. For example in test-retest, the same group of young offenders would be tested using the same questionnaire at a later date to see if the findings remained consistent.

One mark for the statistical testing (checking for a positive correlation / applying Spearman’s rank order correlation).

The one mark for statistical testing can only be credited once.
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

(a) \(\text{AO2} = 2\)

This is an example of misleading information because the word “youth” suggests the man was young.
1 mark for a brief or muddled answer eg identifying the use of the word “youth” or “it refers to age”.
2 marks for some accurate elaboration eg the answer clearly states that the man was young or a youth or suggests that his age may influence the answer.
Credit answers which state that the information is misleading because the question suggests there was a youth in the picture, when in fact there was only a man and a woman.

(b) \(\text{AO3} = 1 \text{ mark} + 3\)

1 mark for independent (groups, measures, participants or subjects or between subjects or participants) design or unrelated design. 0 marks for individual.
1 mark for matched (groups, measures, participants, subjects).
A repeated measures design could not be used because participants would take part in both conditions. This would be inappropriate because their answer to one question would affect their answer to the other question. Candidates may point out this would make it easy to work out the aim of the experiment and so could lead to demand characteristics.
1 mark for a very brief / muddled answer eg “they couldn’t answer both questions.” “It could lead to demand characteristics.”
Further marks for accurate detail. “It could lead to demand characteristics because they would know what the experiment was about.” 2 marks
“Participants couldn’t take part in both conditions because their answer to one question would affect their answer to the other question.” 3 marks

(c) \(\text{AO3} = 4\)

In this experiment it could be used to check how long the participant should be given to look at the picture so that the timing could be changed if it was too long or too short. It could check the participants understand the questions asked and what they are required to do. It could also be used to ask a few participants about their experience of taking part.

Credit any appropriate answer which could apply to this investigation. No marks are awarded for a definition of a pilot study. Explanations which do not relate to this investigation maximum 2 marks.
(d) **AO3 = 4**

One strength of using photographs in the investigation would be control of variables eg the same pictures could be shown for the same amount of time. Candidates may refer to a limitation of the live conversation.

One limitation is lack of validity. The findings cannot be generalised to real life situations where other factors such as changing facial expressions and gestures could be relevant.

For each strength and limitation 1 mark for stating a strength / limitation. 2nd mark for accurate elaboration.

(e) **AO1 = 6**

Candidates must select a research study (studies) which relates to misleading information / leading questions, so research into weapon focus should not be credited.

Candidates are likely to refer to Loftus and Palmer’s (1974) experiment where the verb in the critical question was changed (smashed, collided, bumped, hit or contacted.) Other relevant research would be Loftus and Palmer asking participants “Did you see any broken glass?” and Loftus et al’s (1978) study using a red Datsun and Stop or Yield signs.

Research into anxiety and EWT is not relevant unless the candidate refers to misleading information such as Yuille and Cutshall where the witnesses to a real-life shooting appeared resistant to misleading information.

Research relating to age could also be relevant. Eg Warren et al (2005) found children were more likely to be influenced by misleading information than adults.

Credit any relevant research.

Examiners are reminded that there is a depth / breadth trade-off.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO1</th>
<th>Knowledge and understanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 marks Accurate and reasonably detailed</strong></td>
<td>Accurate and reasonably detailed answer that demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of the procedures and findings of one or more relevant research studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 – 4 marks Less detailed but generally accurate</strong></td>
<td>Less detailed but generally accurate answer that demonstrates relevant knowledge and understanding of the procedures and findings of one or more relevant research studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 – 2 marks Basic</strong></td>
<td>Basic answer that demonstrates some relevant knowledge and understanding of the procedures and findings of one or more relevant research studies but lacks detail and may be muddled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 mark Very brief/flawed</strong></td>
<td>Very brief or flawed answer demonstrating very little knowledge of the procedures and findings of one or more relevant research studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong></td>
<td>No creditworthy material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 4**

The independent variable is age at which the children started day care, or whether the children started day care before or after age 2.

1 mark for age.

2 marks where the IV is operationalised as above.

The dependent variable is aggression score as assessed by the researcher.

1 mark for aggression.

2 marks for aggression score, measure of aggression, level of aggression.

If either IV or DV is identified but not entirely clear – 1 mark.
(b) **AO3 = 2**

1 mark for pointing out the difference is small or the age of starting day care didn’t make much difference to mean aggression score.
1 mark for stating the children who started day care before age 2 had a higher mean score than those who started after the age of 2.
1 mark for saying both groups mean score was approximately half the maximum.
Maximum 2 marks.
Eg “The mean aggression score was slightly higher for children who started day care before the age of 2.” 2 marks
Candidates can gain 2 marks by two brief points or one point elaborated.

(c) **AO3 = 1**

1 mark for:
- range
  - semi-interquartile range
  - interquartile range
- standard deviation or variance.

Do not credit: deviation or interquartile.

(d) **AO3 = 3**

0 marks if the candidate has not drawn a bar chart.
1 mark if the candidate has drawn a bar chart but the scale is clearly inappropriate and not correctly labelled.
2 marks if the candidate has drawn a correctly labelled bar chart but the scale is clearly inappropriate; or the candidate has drawn an appropriate bar chart but the labelling is incomplete eg vertical axis refers to mean score or aggression score rather than mean aggression score.
For full marks the bar chart should indicate a small difference. Both bars and the vertical axis should be correctly labelled.

(e) **AO3 = 2**

0 marks for a non-directional hypothesis or a correlational hypothesis.
1 mark if either variable is not operationalised eg day care makes children more aggressive or the answer is slightly muddled.
2 marks for eg Children who start day care before age 2 have higher aggression scores than those who start day care after age 2, or Children who start day care at a younger age will be assessed as more aggressive than children who start day care at an older age.
Credit a directional hypothesis in the opposite direction.
The graph shows a strong negative correlation between score on depression scale and weeks of treatment. The more treatments the lower the depression. However, there also seems to be a plateau, where between 2-3.5 weeks there is very little change in depression.

1 mark for each of the following:
• Strength (it is a moderately strong / strong correlation)
• Direction (negative)
• Description of the relationship (the longer the treatment the lower the depression score)
• Indication of plateau / change in direction.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:
• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) \( AO2 / AO3 = 1 \)

One mark for an accurate reason: The decision to use a directional hypothesis was based on findings of previous research which pointed to an effect in a particular direction ie memory is poorer with age.

(b) \( AO2 / AO3 = 3 \)

A suitable directional hypothesis would be ‘There is a negative correlation (relationship) between age and recall accuracy rating’.

• 3 marks for a fully operationalised hypothesis as above
• 2 marks for a directional correlational hypothesis that identifies age and recall as the two variables but is not fully operationalised
• 1 mark for a directional hypothesis where the variables are not identified (‘there will be a negative correlation’) or where the hypothesis lacks clarity.

Award zero marks for a non-directional or null hypothesis or any hypothesis predicting a difference or association.

(c) \( AO1 = 1 \)

One mark for an accurate definition: The extent to which results or procedures are consistent or simply ‘consistency’.
(d) \[ \text{AO2 / AO3 = 3} \]

One mark for identification of a way of ensuring reliability. By far the most likely answer here is inter-rater reliability.

Two marks for some explanation/elaboration: using two separate psychologists and comparing them.

Three marks for an accurate and clear explanation: using two separate psychologists to rate the typed accounts for accuracy and comparing / correlating the ratings to see how similar they are.

Candidates could make a case for test retest which would involve the same psychologist re-examining the ratings after a period of time.

(e) \[ \text{AO2 / AO3 = 2} \]

Award one mark for correct identification of one of each type of data.

- Qualitative data: the patient’s responses, the typed accounts, the doctor’s notes.
- Quantitative data: the ratings of recall accuracy on a scale of 1 – 10, ages of patients.

(f) \[ \text{AO2 / AO3 = 2} \]

One mark for each accurate reason given:

- the researchers are testing for a correlation or a relationship between two variables.
- the data is to be treated as ordinal because the recall accuracy is in the form of ratings.

(g) \[ \text{AO2 / AO3 = 2} \]

One mark for stating that the result is significant.

Second mark for explaining that -.52 exceeds .306 (\(p \leq 0.05\), \(n=30\) for a one-tailed test).

(h) \[ \text{AO1 = 2} \]

One mark for a brief or muddled answer which hints at rejecting HO / accepting the H1 in error.

Two marks for explaining the term: where the researcher rejects the null hypothesis (or accepts the research / alternative hypothesis) when in fact the effect is due to chance – often referred to as an error of optimists.
(i) \( \text{AO2 / AO3 = 3} \)

3 marks for a clear explanation which is based on comparison of the calculated value of \( rs \) with the critical value at the 0.01 level of significance and indicates competence in use of statistical tables as follows:

- A Type 1 error is unlikely because the calculated value of \( rs (-0.52) \) exceeds the critical table value at both the 0.05 and 0.01 level for a one-tailed test.
- The chance of a Type 1 error occurring is therefore less than 1%.
- This means that the researchers can be 99% certain that the results obtained are not due to chance.

Award one mark for a brief explanation (it is significant at 0.01).
Award two further marks for an explanation which refers to two of the above points.

Award one mark for stating that the obtained value (-0.52) exceeds the critical value (0.306) by a reasonable margin.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) \( \text{AO2 / AO3 = 4} \)

Up to four marks are awarded for discussing advantage(s) of using a laboratory experiment in this case.

The most likely advantages of the laboratory setting in this experiment include:

- Control over extraneous variables. The lab setting meant that extraneous variables could be minimised. In this experiment, outside factors such as waiting time, noise and stress (which would be difficult to control in a field experiment) were removed.
- Ethical issues. In this case, the testing of memory in a field experiment would have involved ethical issues including deception of patients or withholding of information.
Candidates may also refer to other advantages of the laboratory setting such as replicability. These can receive full credit if they contextualised within the scenario.

Award four marks for an answer which provides accurate and detailed discussion of relevant advantage(s) with a clear link to the scenario.

Award two or three marks for an answer which includes discussion of relevant advantage(s), with some reference to the scenario.

Award one mark only for an answer which merely identifies one or more relevant advantage(s) of a laboratory experiment appropriate to this scenario.

Advantages of laboratory experiments which are not relevant to this study cannot gain any credit eg use of technical equipment.

(b) AO2 / AO3 = 2

• One mark for correctly identifying the Mann Whitney U test or independent t test.

• One mark awarded for an accurate reason for choice (for Mann Whitney these are: test of difference, independent groups design / independent data or data which can be treated at an ordinal level).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

AO2 / AO3 = 10

Candidates are required to design an experiment to test the effects of different kinds of music on concentration. Examiners need to ensure that they read the completed answer thoroughly before starting to award marks.

Candidates are directed to three pieces of material which should be included within their proposed design. They are required to:

• Operationalise the independent and dependent variables
• Provide details of how they would control extraneous variables
• Describe the procedure they would use with sufficient detail for the study to be carried out.

Candidates are told that they must use a repeated measures design. If they do not, they can only access marks for the IV and DV.
In this experiment:

**IV and DV – 2 marks**

- The independent variable is type of music (for example classical and rock). Candidates should suggest two different types of music.

- The dependent variable is a measurement of concentration. Candidates can use the suggested word search task but must state how it is to be measured (for example, time taken to complete a word search or number of errors made). Alternatively, candidates may suggest their own DV.

Award one mark for operationalising each variable.

**Controls – 4 marks**

An important element in a repeated measures design is the control of order effects.

- Counterbalancing is the most likely procedure to control order effects. Half of the participants should carry out the 1\textsuperscript{st} concentration task with music 1 followed by the 2\textsuperscript{nd} task with music 2. The other half should complete the concentration task with music 2 first and follow this with music 1.

- The two concentration tasks should be matched for difficulty.

- Alternatively candidates could argue for randomisation or a time delay between the tasks.

Other relevant controls eg volume of music, time allocated for task should be credited. Answers which make no reference to the control of order effects maximum 2 marks.

**Procedure – 4 marks**

Procedural information should provide detail of how to go about conducting the study (i.e. what participants are required to do). Candidates could approach this task at a macro level i.e. from getting consent to debriefing or at a micro level i.e. the specific procedure for one participant. Other creditworthy material could include:

- Dealing with ethical issues

- Sampling

- Details of conditions and allocation to them

- Standardised instructions

- Data collected.

Note: there are only 4 marks available for the procedure and therefore candidates do not need to address all of the above to gain full credit.
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

(a) **[AO3 = 2]**

For two marks, a clear, testable statement containing both conditions of the IV and an operationalised DV.

For one mark, a statement containing both conditions of the IV and a DV.

No marks for expressions of aim, questions, correlational hypotheses or statements without two conditions.

Possible answers:

Directional: Participants will successfully shoot more netballs through the hoop in the presence of an audience than in the absence of an audience.

Note: Also accept a directional hypothesis opposite to the above.

Non-directional: There will be a difference in the number of netballs successfully shot through the hoop for participants who perform in the presence of an audience and participants who perform in the absence of an audience.

Credit null hypothesis.

(b) **[AO3 = 2]**

One mark for an appropriate conclusion eg the presence of an audience has a positive effect on the performance of the task (or similar response) (AO3, 1).

One mark for the justification of the response eg the mean number of netballs successfully shot through the hoop is higher when there is an audience than when there is no audience (or similar response) (AO3, 1).
To gain the maximum three marks, candidates must provide the following:

- An appropriate title for the graphical display
- Appropriate axes and labelled eg presence / absence of an audience
- Plotting of data using a sensible scale / no penalty for joined bars.

Line graphs can be credited for title and axes only.

(d) [AO3 = 2]

One mark for a relevant strength.
One mark for how / why it is a strength.

Likely strengths: more likely to be representative of the target population; able to generalise to the target population; to exclude researcher bias etc.

(e) (i) [AO3 = 2]

One mark for a relevant limitation of an independent groups design.
One mark for how / why in relation to this study eg individual differences in ability / height etc.

(ii) [AO3 = 2]

Up to two marks for an appropriate explanation of how the problem may have been overcome.

Possible answer: Using a repeated measures design (1) plus explanation of how this could be done or why this would improve the study.

Credit answers that refer to matched pairs / need for random allocation.

Can credit (ii) in respect of incorrect answer to (i).
(f) (i)  \[\text{AO3 = 1}\]

One mark for correct knowledge of the term.

Possible answer: A variable other than the independent variable or an additional / or another variable that might have an effect on the dependent variable, only accept the word ‘results’ for DV (1).

(ii)  \[\text{AO3 = 2}\]

One mark for stating that if EVs are not controlled for, then the results may be confounded (1) the researcher does not know what is causing the effect (1) or other suitable expansion eg effect on reliability or validity.

Do not accept - results will not be accurate.

Credit answers that refer to the study by way of illustration.

(g)  \[\text{AO3 = 4}\]

Instruction must be written verbatim for more than 1 mark.

Essential points
- reference to presence of audience
- reference to shooting (hoops) throwing (hoops)

Optional
- where to stand
- ethics
- introduction of self

| 4 marks | Both essential and at least one optional point addressed clearly such that completion of the task in the experimental condition would be easily possible. Information should be clear, relevant, sensible and logically structured. Must be verbatim. |
| 3 marks | Both essential points are addressed such that completion of the task in the experimental condition would be relatively easy. There may be deficiencies in clarity, some irrelevance, illogical sequencing or inappropriate content. Must be verbatim. |
| 2 marks | Any two points are addressed. There may be omissions / irrelevancies / muddle such that completion of the task would be very difficult. Must be verbatim. |
| 1 marks | There must be at least one relevant point (optional or essential). Information may be unclear / inappropriate / irrelevant / muddled such that completion of the task would be very difficult. |
| 0 marks | No relevant information. Completion of the task would not be possible. |

NB 2 - 4 marks = Verbatim Instructions
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) \([\text{AO1} = 2]\)

Up to 2 marks for description of both obsessions – recurrent / persistent thoughts / ideas / images / impulses and compulsions – repetitive behaviours / ritual acts / behaviour that reduces anxiety.
Accept physiological symptoms of anxiety.

(b) \([\text{AO3} = 2]\)

1 mark for naming repeated measures design.
1 further mark for an elaboration of repeated measures design.

Possible answers:
Repeated measures design means that the same participants are used in both conditions of the study.
If the answer is related to the study described: This means that the children whose anxiety ratings are taken in the before therapy condition are the same children as those who provide the anxiety ratings for the after therapy condition.

(c) \([\text{AO3} = 2]\)

Up to 2 marks for an explanation of one advantage of using repeated measures design.
The advantage of repeated measures design (in this study) is that there will be no participant variables (1) so any differences in performance (the median anxiety ratings before and after therapy) are more likely to be due to the manipulated variables / variables under test (therapy programme) than other variables so the validity of the results is increased.
Answers based on the idea that fewer participants are required than in other designs are relevant.

Note:
If the answer to (b) is incorrect full credit can be awarded for (c) if the advantage given matches the experimental design identified in the answer to (b).
(a) [AO3 = 2]

1 mark for naming repeated measures design.
1 further mark for an elaboration of repeated measures design.
Possible answers:
Repeated measures design means that the same participants are used in both conditions of the study.
If the answer is related to the study described: This means that the children whose language interaction ratings are taken in the before therapy condition are the same children as those who provide the language interaction ratings for the after therapy condition.

(b) [AO3 = 2]

Up to 2 marks for a brief discussion of one advantage of using repeated measures design.
The advantage of repeated measures design (in this study) is that there will be no participant variables (1) so any differences in performance (the median verbal interaction ratings before and after therapy) are more likely to be due to the manipulated variables / variables under test (therapy programme) than other variables / so the validity of the results is increased (1).

Answers based on the idea that fewer participants are required than in other designs are relevant.

Note:
If the answer to (a) is incorrect full credit can be awarded for (b) if the advantage given matches the experimental design identified in the answer to (a).

(c) [AO3 = 2]

Up to 2 marks for a brief explanation of what the results indicate.
As the median verbal interaction ratings have increased (since the therapy programme) (1) that would seem to indicate the programme was effective (1). Accept other plausible explanations of the difference in the medians.
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Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
(a) \textbf{AO3 = 2}

0 marks for a non-directional or correlational hypothesis. 
The DV in this experiment is number of pictures correctly identified. Hypotheses where the DV is incorrect (eg number of participants who identified 10 pictures) = 0 marks. 
1 mark if not fully operationalised, eg Participants who used the memory improvement strategy did better. 
2 marks Participants who use a memory improvement strategy will correctly identify more pictures / objects than participants who do not use a memory improvement strategy.

(b) \textbf{AO3 = 1}

In an independent groups design a different group of participants is used in each condition. 
1 mark = Different participants / people in each condition / group  
Different / separate groups  
Random allocation to groups / conditions. 
0 marks = Different / separate conditions  
Independent participants / people  
Different experiments.

(c) \textbf{AO3 = 2 + 2}

Strength

The participants are naïve because they take part in only one condition, so are less likely to show demand characteristics. There are no order effects such as practice or fatigue because participants take part in one condition.

Limitation

Individual variation, because there are different participants in each condition. More participants are needed than if a repeated measures design was used.

In each case 1 mark for very brief or slightly muddled strength or limitation, 2nd mark for appropriate elaboration of explanation. 
0 marks for simply stating there are different participants in each condition.
### (d) AO3 = 3

A pilot study is used to check aspects of the research such as whether participants understand standardised instructions, whether timings are adequate etc. It allows the researcher to try out the study with a few participants so that adjustments can be made before the main study, so saving time and money.

1 mark for a very brief explanation. Further marks for appropriate elaboration or identification of other reasons. Eg

To check it works. 1 mark
To check the standardised instructions are clear. 2 marks
To check the standardised instructions are clear enough for the participants to understand what they are required to do in the experiment. 3 marks

This question requires an explanation of why a pilot study was used, so a description of what a pilot study is (small scale study carried out before the main research) is not credit-worthy on its own. Candidates do not have to refer to a specific aspect of this experiment.

However, to gain full marks the answer must be relevant, so reference to checking sound levels for example would not be relevant.

### (e) AO3 = 2

The standard deviation (spread of scores) is larger in the condition with the memory improvement strategy.

Candidates who use the word ‘range’ to suggest spread should be credited.

1 mark The standard deviation is larger in the condition with the memory improvement strategy.
2 marks The data shows the dispersion or spread of scores is larger in the condition with the memory improvement strategy.

---

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed.
Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- **AO1** knowledge and understanding
- **AO2** application (of psychological knowledge)
- **AO3** evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.
(a) **AO3 = 2**

Confidentiality could be maintained by making sure individuals are not identifiable when reporting the case study. This could be done by using a different name or initials, avoid publishing details of address, schools etc.

1 mark for identification of a relevant way.
2nd mark for some elaboration (which could be an example) or for identification of a second way of maintaining confidentiality.

(b) **AO2 = 2**

Psychologists may use psychological tests eg IQ testing. They could observe his behaviour in different situations. They might interview people, such as family members, to find out the circumstances of his early life.

1 mark for simply naming any appropriate techniques such as IQ test, observation or interviews.
2nd mark for some elaboration.

(c) **AO3 = 4**

The main limitation is that each individual, and their experience, is unique and the results cannot therefore be generalised to others. Evidence from an individual’s past may be difficult to verify.

Researchers may get to know the individual well, which may lead to loss of objectivity.

Although description of specific case studies is not relevant, candidates may refer to examples as part of and explanation of limitations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO3</th>
<th>Knowledge of limitations of case studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 marks</td>
<td>Accurate and reasonably detailed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accurate and reasonably detailed answer that demonstrates sound knowledge of at least one limitation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 marks</td>
<td>Less detailed but generally accurate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less detailed but generally accurate answer that demonstrates relevant knowledge of at least one limitation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks</td>
<td>Basic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic answer that demonstrates some relevant knowledge of one or more limitations, but lacks detail and may be muddled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark</td>
<td>Very brief / flawed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very brief or flawed answer demonstrating very little knowledge of limitations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No creditworthy material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed.
Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- **AO1** knowledge and understanding
- **AO2** application (of psychological knowledge)
- **AO3** evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 1**

10 children.

(b) **AO3 = 2**

Number of aggressive acts shown during a 10-minute observation. Aggression score on a questionnaire completed by parents.

1 mark for brief or slightly muddled answer, eg ask children’s mothers how aggressive they are.
2nd mark for accurate elaboration, eg ask children’s mothers how aggressive they are on a scale from 1 to 10.

(c) **AO3 = 3**

This is a correlational study, not an experiment, and correlation does not prove a causal relationship. Children who are already aggressive may be put into day care for longer, or another variable, such as parents’ divorce, may contribute to high scores for both time in day care and aggression.

1 mark eg correlation doesn’t prove cause or simply stating that aggression may be a result of other factors.
2 further marks for accurate elaboration as above.
Credit any explanation that focuses on causal inference.
An alternative approach is to argue that some research contradicts this claim. 1 mark for there is contradictory evidence and further marks for accurate reference to relevant research.
0 marks for reference to media exaggeration or individual children.

**AO3 = 4**

Strengths of questionnaires:

- Can be given to a large sample of people.
- Participants can answer the questionnaire without the need for the researcher to be present, so reducing experimenter bias.
- Compared with interviews they are easy to use, the researcher doesn’t need any special training to use them.
For each strength, 1 mark for identifying the strength explicitly relevant to questionnaires and a further mark for explaining why it is a strength. The first bullet point is an example of a 1-mark answer as there is no explanation of why it is a strength. The other two examples are 2-mark answers as there is some explanation. Candidates could also make reference to the advantage of specific types of questions on the questionnaire, i.e. open or closed.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

• A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
• Content appears as a bulleted list
• No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

AO3 = 4

• The two averages are very similar, suggesting that both therapies are as good as each other.

• The range of each group is very different. This suggests that for some people Therapy A was very beneficial, but for others it had little benefit. For Therapy B, there was a much smaller range, suggesting that it has a similar effect on improvement for all the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 marks</th>
<th>Effective interpretation of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective interpretation that demonstrates sound knowledge of what the data shows, with reference to both the average and the range.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 marks</th>
<th>Reasonable interpretation of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reasonable interpretation of what the data shows; or effective interpretation of either the average or the range.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 marks</th>
<th>Basic interpretation of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic interpretation of what the data shows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 mark</th>
<th>Rudimentary interpretation of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rudimentary, muddled interpretation of the data, demonstrating very limited knowledge. Or reference to, for example, larger range/higher average/similar range.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 0 marks | No creditworthy material. |
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

(a) **AO2 / AO3 = 2**

They wanted to clarify some of the issues raised by previous research where some studies had shown that red facilitated tasks and other studies had shown the opposite. They believed that one way to reconcile these different findings was to look at particular cognitive tasks eg ones which required attention to detail and to compare them with tasks which tap into very different skills eg creativity and thus to narrow down the benefits of providing red backgrounds.

One mark for a brief answer eg ‘they wanted to investigate the effects of colour on performance.’ One further mark for elaboration, in relation to colour and / or performance.

(b) **AO1 = 2**

Candidates need to show understanding of reporting conventions. The introduction is an important part of the report that provides background information on theories and studies relevant to the investigation. One mark for a brief explanation of the purpose eg ‘It provides background information’, and one further mark for elaboration or for other detail such as reviewing methodological issues or how the current aims / hypothesis were derived.

(c) **AO1 = 1**

In this question, candidates are not required to relate validity to this particular study so a general definition of validity is acceptable. Definitions of specific types of validity (eg population validity) can also gain credit. Validity refers to how well a test or a piece of research measures what it says it measures = 1 mark.

Answers such as ‘truth’ or ‘whether it is true’ ‘legitimacy’ or ‘accuracy’ = 0 marks.
(d) **AO2 / AO3 = 2**

The Canadian researchers who actually undertook this study suggested the following possible practical applications:

- to help decide what colour to pick for an educational facility
- to help decide what colour enhances persuasion in a consumption context
- to help decided what colour enhances creativity in a new product design process.

Any plausible practical applications are credit-worthy.
1 mark for identifying an application and 1 further mark for elaboration.
‘You could use particular colours for pages in textbooks’ = 1 mark.
‘Red might be used in textbooks covering analytical subjects like maths’ = 2 marks.

(e) **AO2 / AO3 = 2**

If the researchers had judged the toys themselves, they might have been biased in favour of their hypothesis. There are no objective criteria for what makes a toy either practical or original.

Independent judges would be able to decide between themselves on a set of criteria and then apply them to the toys made by the participants.

Some candidates might interpret ‘independent judges’ in this question to mean judges who do not confer with one another. In this case, an acceptable answer would be that they could not conform with one another when making their judgement.

One mark for a brief explanation, eg to avoid experimenter bias, and one further mark for elaboration, eg if the researchers judged the toys themselves.
An answer explaining the value of rating the toys should be credited.

(f) **AO2 / AO3 = 5**

Candidates need to use the details in the description of the study to write an appropriate set of instructions for potential participants.

The instructions should be clear and succinct. They must:

- explain the procedures of this study relevant to participants
- include a check of understanding of instructions.

They should also use language appropriate for a formal document and be as straightforward and courteous as possible.

This is not a consent form so explicit references to ethical considerations are not necessary for full marks. However, it is perfectly acceptable to include comments such as ‘you are free to withdraw from the study at any time.’
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO2 / AO3 = 2**

'There is an association between birth order and choice of career' = 2 marks.
A directional hypothesis is not credit-worthy. Reference to a relationship / correlation cannot gain credit.

Although technically, the psychologist is looking for an association, candidates can gain credit for expressing the hypothesis in terms of a difference eg 'There is a difference in career choice depending on birth order.'

2 marks for a clear hypothesis, 1 mark for a hypothesis which lacks clarity.

(b) **AO2 / AO3 = 3**

One mark for identifying a sampling method.
One mark for a brief explanation of how to obtain the sample eg 'by advertising for lawyers or artists to come forward'. One further mark for elaboration eg 'by explaining that adverts would have to be placed in appropriate journals etc to attract these particular categories of participants'.

Candidates who identify a sampling method but describe it incorrectly can be awarded 1 mark.
(c) \[ \text{AO2 / AO3} = 12 \]

This is a 12 mark question but marks are allocated to each of the required components as follows:

- An appropriately labelled table = 2 marks

1 mark for a table that displays the data in the question.
2 marks for a table which includes data relating to non first-born children. Totals are not required for the 2 marks.

Table: Table to show the career choices of first born and non-first born children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Artists</th>
<th>Lawyers</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First born</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not first born</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a sketch of an appropriately labelled bar chart = 3 marks

For 3 marks, candidates need to display the data relating to first born and non-first born career choices on a bar chart. They should label axes correctly and draw the columns to the correct approximate height for a sketch.

For 2 marks, candidates display data as above but labels are missing or lack clarity. For 1 mark, candidates graph the data supplied in the question relating to first born career choices only.
NB Labelled axes but no bars = 0 marks.

• identification of appropriate statistical test and justification = 1 + 2 marks

An appropriate test here is the Chi-squared. Justification gains 2 marks. Any two correct reasons from:

• data are independent
• level of measurement is nominal
• test of association / difference is required.
• identification of appropriate significance level = 1 mark.

The most likely significance level is 5% (p ≤ 0.05). Candidates are not asked to justify their choice. Candidates who choose a more stringent level can achieve marks but they must then follow this through when they make their statement of results.

Candidates who erroneously report 0.05% or p = 0.5 do not gain credit for level of significance but can achieve credit for the statement of results in relation to the hypothesis.

• a statement of the results of the statistical test in relation to the hypothesis = 3 marks.

For full marks, the candidate should state whether or not they can accept the hypothesis (or they can express this in terms of rejecting the null hypothesis) at a given significance level and refer to the observed and critical values.

Where candidates choose an inappropriate value from the table but interpret that value correctly, they can gain 2 marks.

The critical value for \( x^2 \) (df =1 p 0.05 (two-tailed)) is 3.84. As the observed value of \( x^2 = 2.27 \) is less than the critical value, we cannot reject the null hypothesis. There is not an association between birth order and career choice.

32 Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

AO3 = 3

D
C
A.

33 Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
(a)  **AO3 = 1**

Independent groups. Accept “independent” but not “individual”. Unrelated and between groups / subjects are also credit-worthy.

(b)  **AO3 = 2**

There may be differences between the groups as there are different participants in each condition.

More participants are required than for a repeated measures design.

1 mark for very brief or muddled answer eg individual differences or needs more participants.

2 marks as above.

(c)  **AO3 = 2**

The graph shows the cognitive interview is effective. There were more correct statements made after the cognitive interview than after the traditional interview. There was no difference in the number of incorrect statements made.

1 mark for a very brief or muddled statement. Eg It shows it’s effective.

2 marks for some elaboration with reference to either correct statements, incorrect statements or both.

(d)  **AO2 = 2**

The answer should clearly relate to one or more of the main techniques used in a cognitive interview:

Context reinstatement
Recall from a changed perspective
Recall in reverse order
Report everything.

The main additional features of the enhanced cognitive interview:
Encourage to relax and speak slowly
Offer comments to help clarify their statements
Adapt questions to suit the understanding of individual witnesses.

1 mark for simple identification of a relevant cognitive technique, or a very brief suggestion eg “tell me everything you saw.”

Further mark for application or elaboration. Eg “Please tell me everything you can remember about the robbery from the film you have just seen”, or “Report all the details you can remember even if they don’t seem very relevant." 2 marks.

Answers which could not relate to the film or robbery should be restricted to a maximum of 1 mark.
Investigator effects occur when the researcher’s behaviour or characteristics influence the research in some way. This includes the way the presence of the researcher may influence the participants.

0 marks for an incorrect answer or one which simply re-states the words eg “how the investigator effects research”.

1 mark very brief or muddled answer eg the researcher’s influence.

Maximum 2 marks for an accurate understanding of investigator effects but no reference to this experiment.

Candidates whose explanation of possible investigator effects in this experiment shows understanding of the term can be awarded 4 marks, even though there is no separate definition.

Eg The psychologist may expect the cognitive interview to be more effective than the standard interview. This may be unconsciously communicated to the participants though mannerisms such as smiling or frowning. (4 marks). There are different routes to 4 marks. Candidates could be stronger on definitions or stronger on application.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 2**

This is a natural experiment because the independent variable, whether the children attend nursery or are looked after by a child minder is a naturally occurring variable.

1 mark for brief or muddled explanation eg the children went to nursery anyway.

2 marks for accurate explanation eg the psychologist didn't decide which type of day care the children attended.

Reference to an independent variable should be credited but is not essential for 2 marks.

Answers which refer only to research carried out in a real life situation should not receive credit.

(b) **AO3 = 2**

There are a number of ways in which the children’s aggressive behaviour could be measured. These include observation and recording the behaviour in categories.

Alternative methods such as interviews or questionnaires given to parents or teachers would be relevant.

1 mark for naming or identifying a relevant method eg observation, using a tally chart.

2nd mark for further detail relevant to measuring aggressive behaviour in children.
(c) **AO3 = 4**

Candidates are likely to refer to informed consent from parents and maintaining confidentiality. Parents’ right to withdraw child or data and possible deception would also be relevant. As children are five years old consent from the children could be credited as well as informed consent from parents. In addition to BPS guidelines, issues such as socially sensitive research should be credited.

For each, 1 mark for identification of a relevant issue.
2nd mark for some elaboration eg implications of the issue.

**35 AO3 = 2**

Ethical issues could include:

- Informed consent
- Right to withdraw
- Protection from harm
- Anonymity
- Confidentiality
- Deception.

Any relevant ethical issue can receive credit, but the question requires candidates to explain the ethical issue.

1 mark for identifying the ethical issue and a further mark for the explanation. For example, confidentiality is an issue because when investigating stress the participant might be disclosing personal information that they want to keep private.

**36**

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.
Possible conclusions:

- Some therapies are more successful for some disorders than other therapies.
- For some disorders there is little difference between the different types of therapy.
- For disorder A, biological therapies are much more effective than any other therapy.
- Psychoanalytic therapies are not very effective, except for disorder C, but even here, there is little difference between the three types of therapy.

Findings should only be credited if they are explicitly linked to a conclusion.
Candidates could offer several conclusions or only a few, there is a breadth / depth trade-off.

4 marks Accurate and reasonably detailed
Accurate and reasonably detailed answer that demonstrates sound interpretation and understanding of what the bar chart shows about the effectiveness of different types of therapies. There is appropriate selection of material to address the question.

3 marks Less detailed but generally accurate
Less detailed but generally accurate answer that demonstrates interpretation and understanding. There is some evidence of selection of material to address the question.

2 marks Basic
Basic answer that demonstrates some relevant interpretation and understanding but lacks detail and may be muddled. There is little evidence of selection of material to address the question.

1 mark Very brief / flawed or inappropriate
Very brief or flawed answer demonstrating very little understanding. Selection and presentation of information is largely or wholly inappropriate.

0 marks
No creditworthy material.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

(a) **AO2/3 = 6**

Candidates need to show that they understand what differentiates opinion from scientific evidence. They could mention some of the following:

- The teacher has only experienced one school in a particular catchment area so she has only observed a very limited number of 5 year-olds (issues of sampling and replicability).

- She has found out that children do not eat anything nourishing simply by chatting with the children. She has no corroborative evidence from eg parents (issues of objectivity).

- She uses vague phrases such as 'decent breakfast' without being clear what this means (operationalisation).

- She has generated a theory and made predictions based on flimsy evidence.

- She has not used any scientific method to lead to her conclusions eg a carefully controlled experiment, survey or observation.

- She has drawn conclusions about the effects of breakfast without considering other variables which might affect reading skills and behaviour.
AO2/3 Mark bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark Band</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 marks Effective</td>
<td>Explanation demonstrates sound understanding. Application of knowledge is effective and shows coherent elaboration. Ideas are well structured and expressed clearly and fluently. Consistently effective use of psychological terminology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 4 marks Reasonable</td>
<td>Explanation demonstrates reasonable understanding. Application of knowledge is reasonably effective and shows some elaboration. Most ideas appropriately structured and expressed clearly. Appropriate use of psychological terminology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – 2 marks Basic</td>
<td>Explanation demonstrates basic, superficial understanding. Application of knowledge is basic. Expression of ideas lacks clarity. Limited use of psychological terminology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark Rudimentary</td>
<td>Explanation is rudimentary, demonstrating very limited understanding. Application of knowledge is weak, muddled and may be mainly irrelevant. Deficiency in expression of ideas results in confusion and ambiguity. The answer lacks structure, often merely a series of unconnected assertions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
<td>No creditworthy material is presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) **AO2/3 = 3**

In a random sample, every member of the identified population has an equal chance of selection. In this case, the sampling frame consists of the 400 five-year-old children attending ten local schools. In order to obtain a simple random sample, the researcher has to have the names of all 400 children and can then select using one of the following methods:

- **Random number tables** – random number tables are specially devised to meet the following criteria – they contain strings of numbers where each number has the same chance of being selected as any other and each number is independent of the others. Such tables are readily available in statistics text books etc or can be generated by the researcher using a computer program. The researcher assigns each child a number between 1 and 400. He enters the table at any place (he could close his eyes and point with a finger at a starting place) and then moves either horizontally or vertically to produce a string of random numbers. He records all the numbers which correspond to the 400 children until he has recorded a total of 100 non-duplicated numbers.
• **Computer selection** – This is a similar method where the computer does most of the work. A computer can generate an endless string of random numbers i.e. numbers which have no relationship to one another as a sequence. Each child’s name is given a number and a random number generator program is used to produce the required sample size (in this case 100 participants).

• **Manual selection** – Using this method, the researcher has to put each name (or an assigned number) on a separate slip of paper and place them all in a container. The researcher then selects 100 slips from the container. The following conditions could apply: the container should be shaken between each draw; the slips of paper should all be the same size and folded in the same way so that one does not feel different from another; the selector draws ‘blind’ i.e. cannot see the actual slips of paper.

A simple definition of a random sample is not credit-worthy since it offers no explanation. Similarly, answers which only use the word ‘random’ as an explanation cannot gain credit e.g. He would choose 100 participants at random from the children. One mark for a very basic method e.g. ‘he would take names from a hat / computer / random number table’. Two further marks for elaboration.

(c) **AO2/3 = 3**

Candidates could focus on:

• Even if a sample is random, it may not be truly representative of the population e.g. might all come from the same school, or be all boys or all girls.

• Practical limitations e.g. the time and effort needed to write out 400 slips for the manual method.

• Difficulties of obtaining a truly random sample e.g. even if the sample is selected randomly, parents might refuse to allow their children to participate.

Any plausible and appropriate answers should be credited.

Up to 2 marks for identification of limitations. For 3 marks, one or more limitations must be explained in reasonable detail.

(d) **AO2/3 = 5**

There are two requirements to this question, **why** operationalising variables is important and **how** to operationalise the IV and the DV. If a candidate only explains **how / why**, maximum 3 marks.

The terms ‘decent breakfast’ and ‘reading skills’ are vague. It is important from the point of view of objectivity, replicability and control of extraneous variables to make sure that these terms are closely defined.

Suggestions as to how the psychologist might do this could include the following:

The researcher needs to specify the exact composition of the breakfast (possibly by doing a pilot study or a literature search to identify the components of breakfast most likely to bring about behavioural / cognitive change). He probably also needs to specify the time at which it is consumed. The researcher needs to use a standard reading test which should be administered to all the participants at the beginning of the study and at the end – the dependent variable is likely to be the improvement score.
(e) **AO2/3 = 2**

Reasons are:

- a test of difference
- data (scores from a reading test) are at least ordinal, this would include ordinal / interval and / or ratio
- independent design.

One mark for each appropriate reason (maximum 2 marks).

(f) **AO2/3 = 2**

It would have been more difficult to use a matched-pairs design because of the number of relevant factors that would need to be controlled (e.g., gender, intelligence, parental attitudes / income / education, experience of pre-school education, number of siblings in family etc). There is a relatively small pool of children available (i.e., 400) and it could be difficult to match on all these factors. It would also be very time-consuming; it could be quite expensive to carry out the necessary surveys; it could be quite intrusive collecting such information from parents.

One mark for a basic explanation e.g., “Because it is difficult to match participants appropriately”.
One further mark for elaboration.

(g) **AO2/3 = 2**

One mark for identifying an appropriate issue and second mark for explaining how it could be addressed.

The most likely issue is confidentiality which could be addressed by ensuring that all scores on reading scales and all personal information are anonymised.

There are also ethical problems involved in denying the control group breakfast although it is more difficult for candidates to suggest a way of addressing this – perhaps to put only those children into the control group who do not eat breakfast anyway, restricting the study length to a short period of time and, if the study results support the hypothesis, to provide free breakfasts to these children for the rest of the academic year.

Parental consent is excluded because it is given in the stem so answers which offer this as an issue cannot gain credit.
Design should be written clearly, succinctly and with sufficient detail for reasonable replicability. Candidates will not receive credit for details included in the stimulus material. These include using a random sample of 100 children, gaining parental consent and selection of a Mann Whitney test.

To access marks in the top band candidates must state an appropriate hypothesis in which “playground behaviour” is clearly operationalised. The hypothesis could be directional or non-directional.

Given the wording of the question, a correlational hypothesis is not credit-worthy, however, the rest of the answer should be marked on its merits. Likely aspects of “playground behaviour” would include activity levels, aggression, cooperative play etc.

An attempt to operationalise “a healthy breakfast” should be credited. However, candidates could assume this had already been done by the psychologist.

As this is an observational study any of the following, together with appropriate justification, would be credit-worthy:

Is the observation covert or overt?
Where are observers positioned? (In playground, watching from window?)
Is a video recording of the children used? How will this be analysed (eg content analysis)?
Do the students who observe know what the children ate for breakfast?
At what times of day does the observation take place?
How many children are observed? (Candidates could justify using a smaller sub-sample of the 100 children in the original study).
How long does each observation last?
Will the observers use a behavioural check list / tally chart?
Will more than one observer observe each child? If so, what training will be given and what checks for inter-observer reliability will take place?

Reference to time sampling or event sampling.

Credit any other relevant material.
### AO2/3 Mark bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12 – 10 marks | **Effective design**  
A design that demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of observational research. The selection and application of research techniques is appropriate. The description provides sufficient detail for most aspects of the study to be implemented. Some design decisions are justified effectively. |
| 9 – 7 marks | **Reasonable design**  
The design is reasonable and demonstrates knowledge and understanding of some aspects of observational research. The selection and application of research techniques is mostly appropriate. The description provides sufficient detail for some aspects of the study to be implemented. Some design decisions are justified. |
| 6 – 4 marks | **Basic design**  
The design is basic and demonstrates limited knowledge and understanding of aspects of observational research. The selection and application of research techniques are sometimes appropriate. Some basic design decisions/features of the study are described but there may be significant omissions, lack of clarity and possibly some implausible suggestions that severely limit implementation. Justifications of the design are limited. |
| 3 – 1 marks | **Rudimentary design**  
The design is rudimentary. Design decisions are muddled and or mostly inappropriate and are not justified. Description lacks clarity. The study could not be implemented. |
| 0 marks | No creditworthy material. |

---

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- **AO1** knowledge and understanding
- **AO2** application (of psychological knowledge)
- **AO3** evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 2**

0 marks for a directional hypothesis.
1 mark if not operationalised, eg “Age affects memory.” “There will be a difference between the two conditions.”
2 marks for eg “There will be a difference in how many numbers are correctly recalled by children and adults.” “Children and adults have different short-term memory spans.” Or “The capacity of short-term memory is different for adults and children.”

Candidates may write a hypothesis where the IV is how many numbers are in the list and the DV is the number of participants who can recall that digit span.
Eg As numbers in the list increase, recall changes. 1 mark.
As the number of random numbers in the list increases, the number of participants recalling the list correctly, changes. 2 marks.
(b) **AO3 = 2**

The experiment uses adults in one condition and children in the other so it would be impossible to use a repeated design unless the researchers waited for the children to grow into adults.
Given the nature of this experiment, demand characteristics and order effects are inappropriate.
1 mark for a brief explanation. A further mark for elaboration. Eg Can compare the two different groups to see who is better. 0 marks (because this relates to all experimental designs).
They needed to have different people in each condition. 1 mark.
They needed to have different people in each condition based on age. 2 marks.
They needed to have children in one group and adults in the other. 2 marks.

(c) **AO3 = 2**

Children  6  
Adults  7  
1 mark for each correct answer.

(d) **AO3 = 3**

The frequency distribution shows that there is a difference in results between the two age groups.
Adults recalled more digits than children. However, the difference is small and some children recalled more digits than some adults. Candidates might refer to the modal scores being different while the range is the same.
Any credit-worthy material should be credited.
1 mark for a very brief answer eg identifying there is a difference between adults and children and / or adults score more than children. Further marks for more detail as above.

(e) **AO2 = 2**

Other research has suggested the capacity of short-term memory is $7 + / - 2$. The results do support this as the range is from 5-9.
1 mark for a brief or muddled explanation eg capacity is 5-9 / other research has similar findings.
2nd mark for elaboration as above.
Candidates will be credited for reference to research such as Jacobs which found STM increases with age. However, reference to such research is not a requirement.
AO3 = 3

Candidates are likely to refer to the fact that in real life settings research has high validity because the findings can be generalised to other similar situations. It is therefore more likely to be relevant eg to eyewitness testimony in court cases. There are often real consequences / emotional impact in real life which do not occur in laboratory investigations.

In a laboratory participants may show demand characteristics because they know they are in an experiment. This is less likely in real world settings.

Answers which refer to advantages of laboratory research or disadvantages of real world research are not relevant and should not receive credit.

1 mark for a brief explanation eg higher ecological validity.

Further marks for some elaboration as above.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 3**

This is a volunteer / self–selected / voluntary sample.

Only people who read this newspaper could take part. The participants have chosen to take part, so it is a biased sample. Findings cannot be generalised to a wider population.

Volunteer sample or self-selected sample (1 mark).

Candidates can receive credit for a correct outline of a relevant disadvantage of volunteer sampling even if they have not identified the correct sampling method.

1 mark for a brief disadvantage. Eg It is biased.

2nd mark for some elaboration eg It is a biased because only some people who read the newspaper respond and they may not be typical of all readers.

(b) **AO3 = 3**

Any relevant issue should be credited.

Likely ethical issues include informed consent, right to withdraw or confidentiality.

1 mark for identification of a relevant ethical issue.

1 mark for a brief mention of a way of dealing with an ethical issue. Further mark for elaboration.

Eg Confidentiality (1 mark). Keep the participants details private (1 mark).

The psychologists should not use the participants’ names in published work, or allow them to be identified in any way (2 marks).
AO3 = 4

For each advantage and disadvantage 1 mark for stating an advantage / disadvantage.
2nd mark for clear elaboration. Eg an advantage of using a questionnaire is that the data is easier to analyse (1 mark).
Eg An advantage of using a questionnaire is that it is more likely to produce quantitative data which is easier to analyse (2 marks).
Candidates may refer to this study, but this is not necessary.

AO3 = 2

Credit advantages of different types of observation (eg covert) even if this is not explicit in the answer.
The researcher is able to look at the way people really behave. If people are unaware they are being watched they will not be susceptible to demand characteristics.
1 mark for brief reference to an advantage eg it’s real behaviour.
2nd mark for some accurate / effective elaboration. Eg it looks at real behaviour rather that what people say they would do.

AO1 = 2

Peer review is the process by which psychological research papers, before publication, are subjected to independent scrutiny by other psychologists working in a similar field who consider the research in terms of its validity, significance and originality.

0 marks for ‘other psychologists look at the research’.
1 mark for a very brief outline eg ‘other psychologists look at the research report before it is published.’
One further mark for elaboration.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

• A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
• Content appears as a bulleted list
• No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.
Peer review is an important part of this process because it provides a way of checking the validity of the research, making a judgement about the credibility of the research and assessing the quality and appropriateness of the design and methodology. Peers are also in a position to judge the importance or significance of the research in a wider context. They can also assess how original the work is and whether it refers to relevant research by other psychologists. They can then make a recommendation as to whether the research paper should be published in its original form, rejected or revised in some way. This peer review process helps to ensure that any research paper published in a well-respected journal has integrity and can, therefore, be taken seriously by fellow researchers and by lay people.

AO2/3 marks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 marks Effective</th>
<th>Effective analysis and understanding.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The answer is well focused and shows coherent elaboration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ideas are well structured and expressed clearly and fluently. Consistently effective use of psychological terminology. Appropriate use of grammar, punctuation and spelling.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 – 3 marks Reasonable</th>
<th>Reasonable analysis and understanding.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The answer is generally focused and shows reasonable elaboration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most ideas appropriately structured and expressed clearly. Appropriate use of psychological terminology. Minor errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling only occasionally compromise meaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 marks Basic</th>
<th>Basic, superficial understanding.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The answer is sometimes focused and shows some evidence of elaboration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expression of ideas lacks clarity. Limited use of psychological terminology. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling are intrusive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 mark Rudimentary</th>
<th>Rudimentary with very limited understanding.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The answer is weak, muddled and may be mainly irrelevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficiency in expression of ideas results in confusion and ambiguity. The answer lacks structure, often merely a series of unconnected assertions. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling are frequent and intrusive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 0 marks | No creditworthy material is presented. |

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

(a) **AO1 = 1**

A brief definition of the term is sufficient for 1 mark eg a technique for analysing data according to themes or categories.
Candidates who simply write ‘a way of analysing qualitative data’ are not meeting the requirement to say ‘what is meant by….?’

(b) **AO2 / 3 = 3**

- The psychologist would have identified a number of categories or themes by which to sort the drawings. Such categories/themes might include: the type of food depicted eg carbohydrate, protein; the state of the food eg cooked, raw etc; the portion size; the brightness of the colours used.

- He would have counted examples from each category to provide quantitative data.

- He could then compare the drawings according to these categories to see if there were changes over the four week period.

For full marks candidates can either outline three of the above or outline two with some elaboration.
For 2 marks candidates can either outline two of the above, or one with elaboration.
For 1 mark candidates simply outline one of the above eg “choose a theme like size”.
Note: maximum 2 marks if no engagement with the stem.
The form would need to contain sufficient information for the participant to make an informed decision about whether to take part or not. The form should contain some of the following:

- The purpose of the study.
- The length of time required of the participants.
- The fact that participants would have to be isolated in a research institute for the duration of the study.
- Details about the diet.
- Right to withdraw.
- Reassurance about protection from harm e.g. the availability of medical supervision.
- The requirement to undertake a series of psychological tests.
- Reassurance about confidentiality of the data.

It is not necessary for candidates to include all of the above points for full marks. However, in order to access the top band, candidates must engage with the study and include sufficient information on both ethical and methodological issues for participants to make an informed decision.

Maximum of 3 marks if no ethical issues are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO2/3 = 5 marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 marks Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ‘consent form’ demonstrates sound understanding. Information is given in a clear and concise form and is explicitly relevant. The form includes sufficient information so that participants can make a fully informed decision including the right to withdraw.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – 3 marks Reasonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ‘consent form’ demonstrates reasonable understanding. Information is given in a reasonably clear and concise form and is mainly relevant. The form includes sufficient information so that participants can make an informed decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks Basic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ‘consent form’ demonstrates basic understanding. There is some lack of clarity and conciseness and material is not always relevant. There are some omissions such that participants would find it difficult to make a decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark Rudimentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ‘consent form’ is rudimentary and demonstrates very little understanding. Information is not given in a clear and concise form. The form has significant omissions such that a decision is not possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No creditworthy material is presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO2 / 3 = 1**

1 mark for correct answer – directional (one-tailed is acceptable).

(b) **AO2 / 3 = 3**

1 mark for correctly stating that the result is significant.
2 further marks for an explanation: the calculated value of $T = 53$ which is less than the value of 60 where $N = 20$ and $p \leq 0.05$ for a one-tailed test.

If the candidate states that the result is not significant, no marks can be awarded.

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO2 / 3 = 4**

For any credit, candidates must sketch a scattergram.
For full marks, candidates should provide an appropriate title for the scattergram, label each of the axes appropriately and plot the data accurately on the scattergram.

Each of the examples below is a full mark answer because:

- it is clearly a sketch of a scattergram
- the data are appropriately plotted
- the labels of the axes and the title taken together show full understanding of the nature of the data.
(b) \text{AO2} / 3 = 4

For full marks, candidates should give a reasonably detailed explanation eg she is concerned because the observers should both recognise the same types of verbal behaviour as aggressive and you would expect their tallies to be very similar. In this case, the observers disagree in every 10-minute time interval even though they are both watching the same child and should be using the same criteria. In some time slots, there is a really big difference in the number of acts. This suggests that the observers have interpreted the criteria differently or that, at certain times, one observer was more vigilant then the other (4 marks).

1 mark – ‘because the observers do not agree with each other’.
3 further marks for elaboration.
Candidates who simply describe what is meant by inter-rate reliability can gain no marks.

(c) \text{AO2} / 3 = 3

1 mark for identifying the appropriate test – Spearman’s Rho or Pearson’s (with appropriate justification).
2 further marks for explaining why it is appropriate ie the psychologist is testing for a correlation and the data that can be treated as ordinal.
Candidates can gain no marks on this question if their choice of statistical test is inappropriate.

(d) \text{AO2} / 3 = 4

1 mark for a very brief answer eg ‘better training for the observers’
3 further marks for elaboration.

There is a breadth / depth trade-off here. Candidates can elaborate on one improvement eg explain how the training might be improved or outline several improvements in less detail eg establish clearer criteria for categorising verbal aggression, filming the child so that the observers can practise the categorisation.

(a) \text{AO2} = 2

Digit span is normally considered to be 7+/−2, so Peter’s was much shorter.
1 mark for simply stating his digit span was shorter than normal.
Second mark for an explanation of the difference, eg Peter’s digit span of two items was much shorter than the average span of around 7 items.

(b) \text{AO2} = 4

The MSM suggests there are separate ST and LT stores. Peter’s short-term memory was impaired, but his long-term memory was not. This supports the idea of separate ST and LT stores, because one was damaged but not the other.

One mark for some reference to separate ST and LT stores. Three further marks for elaboration of the explanation.

Alternatively, candidates could suggest the evidence goes against MSM. If memory has to pass through the ST store to reach the LT store, it is likely that damage to the ST store would impair the transfer. Candidates could legitimately refer to evidence both for and against the model.
There are no ethical issues named in the specification, so any potentially relevant issues should be credited. Likely ethical issues include informed consent, right to withdraw, confidentiality or respect. Candidates may point out that as the man has brain damage, his ability to give informed consent might be in doubt. One mark for identification of a relevant ethical issue. One mark for a brief mention of how the issue could be dealt with. Two further marks for elaboration. For example: confidentiality (1 mark); keep the man’s details private (1 mark); the psychologists should not use the man’s name in published work, but could use his initials instead (2 further marks).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

AO3 = 2

This graph shows a fairly strong negative correlation between stress and white blood cell activity / the immune system. As the stress increases the immune functioning decreases. The following can all receive a mark: direction, strength, and a description of their relationship.

AO3 = 4

Strength: can study relationships between variables that occur naturally, eg stress from exams and students getting ill. Can measure things that cannot be measured experimentally. Can suggest trends that can lead to experiments.

Weakness: it is not possible to say that one thing causes another. Just because there is a correlation between stress and the immune system, it does not mean that stress directly caused the immune system to become less effective: there may be another variable connecting the two.

Any other appropriate answer can get credit. For each, 1 mark for a brief outline of the strength / weakness and a further mark for elaboration.
Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.

**AO3 = 4**

Conclusions can include: there are two factors that influence conformity, the ambiguity of the task and the size of the majority. A large majority is most influential with an ambiguous task, but still exerts pressure even when the task is easy. However, a small majority has less effect and the type of task does not seem to be an important variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 marks</td>
<td>Accurate and reasonably detailed&lt;br&gt;Accurate and reasonably detailed answer that demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of what the bar chart shows about conformity. There is appropriate selection of material to address the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 marks</td>
<td>Less detail but generally accurate&lt;br&gt;Less detailed but generally accurate answer that demonstrates knowledge and understanding. There is some evidence of material to address the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks</td>
<td>Basic&lt;br&gt;Basic answer that demonstrates some relevant knowledge and understanding but lacks detail and may be muddled. There is little evidence of selection of material to address the question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark</td>
<td>Very brief/flawed of inappropriate&lt;br&gt;Very brief or flawed answer demonstrating very little knowledge. Selection and presentation of information is largely or wholly inappropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
<td>No creditworthy material.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

Although the essential content for this mark scheme remains the same, mark schemes for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) take a different format as follows:

- A single set of numbered levels (formerly bands) to cover all skills
- Content appears as a bulleted list
- No IDA expectation in A Level essays, however, credit for references to issues, debates and approaches where relevant.
(a) \( AO2 / 3 = 2 \)

One mark for one brief finding and a further mark for appropriate elaboration or for two brief findings or one mark for a slightly muddled answer.

On average, the treatment group showed greater improvement after the treatment than the no-treatment group. The average improvement score for the no-treatment group was very low suggesting that the treatment gains for the treatment group were not simply a result of the passage of time.

There was some variation in both groups as shown by the ranges but it was wider in the treatment group. The low range in the no-treatment group suggests that most people in this group had similar low improvement scores.

(b) \( AO1 = 1, AO2 / 3 = 3 \)

One mark for identification of a suitable test and 3 further marks for an appropriate justification. The specification only requires knowledge of non-parametric tests. However, if a candidate names an independent t-test and justifies its use, this is perfectly acceptable. It is likely that most candidates will identify a non-parametric test. The most appropriate test is the Mann-Whitney and the justifications for its use are:

- independent groups design
- at least ordinal data
- differences.

(c) \( AO2 / 3 = 2 \)

One mark for correctly identifying the likelihood and one further mark for an appropriate explanation or one mark for a slightly muddled answer.

The likelihood of making a Type 1 error is 5%. A Type 1 error occurs when a researcher claims support for the research hypothesis with a significant statistical test, but in fact, the variations in the scores are due to chance variables. If the level of significance is set at 5%, there will always be a one in twenty chance or less that the results are due to chance rather than to the influence of the independent variable or some other factors.

(d) \( AO2 / 3 = 4 \)

Two marks for each reason. One mark for a basic identification and one further mark for elaboration.

Possible reasons include:

- Expectations – the patients might expect the treatment to do them some good and it becomes a self-fulfilling prophesy.
- Biased sample – even though the participants were randomly assigned to groups, the treatment group might, by chance have included more people with milder symptoms that were more likely to respond to treatment.
- Other support – we do not know what other support/treatment that the participants might have had over the 8 week therapy period.
(e) **AO2 / 3 = 4**

Two marks for the advantage and two marks for the disadvantage. One mark for simply identifying an advantage / disadvantage and the further mark for elaboration in the context of the study. Answers which are not set in context cannot achieve full marks.

Advantage: Much quicker to administer and to score – could all have been given out at the same time whereas the therapist has to conduct 30 time-consuming interviews; cheaper than interviews, ie in terms of the therapist’s time; people might be more comfortable, and, therefore, more honest, if they have to write responses rather than face an interviewer (could work the other way as well – see disadvantages).

Disadvantage: Self-report questionnaires might not yield as accurate data as an interview – questions can limit range of answers and there are no additional cues, eg body language, participants might be less honest on a questionnaire than in a face-to-face interview.

Marks can be awarded for any appropriate advantages / disadvantages.

(f) **AO2 / 3 = 5**

Candidates should demonstrate understanding of some of the requirements of a good consent form. For full marks, it should be succinct, clear and informative.

It is likely to include some of the following information: treatment programme that is noninvasive; requirement to be assessed on current level of functioning; use of a trained therapist to conduct interviews; duration of the programme; requirement for re-assessment at the end of the programme; random allocation to a treatment or no-treatment group.

It should show awareness of ethical considerations, eg

• no pressure to consent – it will not affect any other aspects of their treatment if they choose not to take part
• they can withdraw at any time
• they can withdraw their data from the study
• their data will be kept confidential and anonymous
• they should feel free to ask the researcher any questions at any time
• they will receive a full debrief at the end of the programme.

For full marks, candidates must include a range of both procedural and ethical points.
AO2 / 3 Mark Bands (5 marks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 marks Effective</th>
<th>Consent form demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of research ethics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 – 3 marks Reasonable</td>
<td>Consent form demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of research ethics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks Basic</td>
<td>Consent form demonstrates basic, superficial knowledge and understanding of research ethics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark Rudimentary</td>
<td>Consent form is rudimentary demonstrating very limited understanding of research ethics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 marks</td>
<td>No creditworthy material is presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(g) **AO1 = 2, AO2 / 3 = 2**

**AO1:** One mark for brief description, eg ‘consistency’ and one further mark for elaboration. Reliability refers to consistency over time. If a test, questionnaire, etc, is reliable, people tend to score the same on the test if they take it again soon afterwards.

**AO2 / 3:** One mark for a very brief answer, eg ‘do another test’ or ‘test them again’ or ‘use another interviewer to check’. Two marks for some elaboration.

Reliability could have been checked by administering a valid and reliable questionnaire to the participants as well as interviewing them and then comparing the scores on the two measures. If the interview score was reliable, there would be strong positive correlation between the scores.

The interviews could have been filmed and given to another trained therapist to assess. A strong correlation between the scores given by each therapist would demonstrate reliability.

(h) **AO2 / 3 = 10**

For full marks, the method section should be written clearly, succinctly and in such a way that the study would be replicable. It should be set out in a conventional reporting style, possibly under appropriate headings. Examiners should be mindful that there are now different, but equally acceptable reporting styles. For example, candidates should not be penalised for writing in the first person. The important factor here is whether the study could be replicated.

There should be reasonable detail with regard to:

- design
- participants
- materials
- procedures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO2 / 3 Mark Bands (10 marks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 – 9 marks Effective</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective method section that demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of investigation design. The design decisions are appropriate and the description provides accurate detail of the design, participants, materials and procedure of the study. Effective and appropriate report style.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8 – 6 marks Reasonable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The method section demonstrates reasonable knowledge and understanding of investigation design. The design decisions are generally appropriate and the description provides reasonable detail of the design, participants, materials and procedure of the study. Generally appropriate report style.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 – 3 marks Basic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The method section demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of investigation design. Some aspects of the design are appropriate. The description provides basic detail of some features of the study or rudimentary outline of the main features. Expression lacks clarity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 – 1 mark Rudimentary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The method section demonstrates rudimentary knowledge or understanding of research. The report is weak, muddled or incomplete. Deficiency in expression results in confusion and ambiguity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 marks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No creditworthy material is presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.
(a) **AO3 = 2**

Identification of the mean or median.
There are no repeated scores in either list, so the mode would not be appropriate.
Justification for the mean could be that it used all of the available data, that it is a powerful / sensitive measure or that it is suitable for use with interval / ratio data.
Justification for using the median is that it is relatively unaffected by outlying scores.

(b) **AO3 = 2**

The specification names random, opportunity and volunteer sampling. Answers must relate to volunteer sampling which involves participants selecting themselves.

1 mark:
very brief suggestion, eg put up a notice.
2 marks:
some elaboration that could apply to the scenario, eg advertise on the staff room notice board, asking teachers to sign a list.

(c) **AO3 = 1**

Extraneous variables are anything other than the independent variable that could affect the dependent variable. In this study they could include participant differences, environmental variables such as temperature or noise, and experimenter variables including the way in which the research is conducted.

(d) **AO3 = 2**

The control must relate to the variable in (c).
1 mark for a brief suggestion. Second mark for some elaboration.
For example, control for participant differences by making sure both groups are similar (1 mark).
Control for participant differences by randomly assigning the participants to conditions (2 marks).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- **AO1** knowledge and understanding
- **AO2** application (of psychological knowledge)
- **AO3** evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) **AO3 = 1**

Identification of a positive correlation, +, +ve.
The strength and weakness must be appropriate for investigating day care.

1 mark for stating a relevant strength / weakness. A further mark for some elaboration which would apply to day care.

For example, a strength would be it is an ethical way of collecting data (1 mark) because there is no manipulation of time the child spends in day care (2 marks).

A weakness you can't infer cause and effect (1 mark) because you can't be sure that time in day care causes the child to be disobedient (2 marks).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

As Luca was in a poor quality orphanage for four years cognitive impairment is likely. Answers could also refer to Bowlby’s MDH and possible consequences such as affectionless psychopathy and problems with later relationships. Reactive attachment disorder and physical effects would also be relevant.

1 mark or 2 marks for identification of possible negative effect(s), eg Luca may have problems forming relationships. [1 mark for identifying one negative effect, 2 marks for identifying two or more.]
Up to 2 additional marks for some elaboration of two or more effects or a more detailed elaboration of one effect.

Strengths
Rich data, high ecological validity, investigates a situation which could not be set up for ethical reasons.

Limitations
Selection from large amounts of data may lead to observer bias.
Findings from one individual can’t be generalised to others.

1 mark each for identification of a strength / limitation. Second mark for some elaboration. For example, an advantage of a case study is that it provides lots of detail (1 mark). This gives great depth and understanding of this single individual (2 marks).
AO3 = 3

Infants would be too young to respond to demand characteristics.

1 mark for a brief reference to mothers changing their behaviour or the cues in the investigation which lead to the change. 2 further marks for elaboration.

For example, the mothers’ behaviour may change (1 mark). The mothers try to guess what the psychologist is looking at (1 mark), so they may be more attentive to their babies than when they are not taking part in this research (1 mark).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

• AO1 knowledge and understanding
• AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
• AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) AO3 = 2

The independent variable is the type of memory strategy or whether the participants were instructed to form a mental image to link the words, or to memorise the words. One mark for the “memory technique” or “instructions to participants or memory strategy.” Two marks where the IV is operationalised as above.

(b) AO3 = 2

The dependent variable is number of words correctly recalled. One mark for “recall” or a slightly muddled statement. Two marks where the DV is operationalised as above.

(c) AO3 = 1

One mark for correct identification of an independent groups design. Accept independent design / between-participant design.

(d) AO3 = 2

One mark for stating a strength, eg the same word list can be used for both conditions. A further mark for explaining why this is a strength, eg the words for each group will be equally easy to learn. Credit any acceptable strength.

(e) AO3 = 2

One mark for identifying a suitable way of checking reliability, eg do it again. A further mark for elaboration, eg carry out the experiment again and look for a similarity in both sets of results.
AO3 = 3

Simply identifying or naming one or more potentially relevant ways of dealing with the ethical issue – maximum 1 mark. For example, confidentiality, anonymity, debrief. Further marks for explaining how psychologists could deal with this ethical issue.

For example:

Right to withdraw (1 mark)
Participants should be reminded of their right to withdraw from the research (2 marks)
If participants are showing signs of distress, the psychologist should remind the participants of their right to withdraw (3 marks).

Please note that the AOs for the new AQA Specification (Sept 2015 onwards) have changed. Under the new Specification the following system of AOs applies:

- AO1 knowledge and understanding
- AO2 application (of psychological knowledge)
- AO3 evaluation, analysis, interpretation.

(a) AO3 = 2

Behaviour must be operationalised. Suitable behavioural categories could include crying, clinging to mother, smiling, playing independently etc.
One mark for each suitable behavioural category.

(b) AO3 = 2

Candidates may refer to time sampling, CCTV and later analysis or ticking a box when the behaviour is shown. Unstructured observation could also be relevant.
One mark for a brief explanation. This could include demonstrating some understanding of the use of behavioural categories, eg draw a table and tick boxes. A further mark for elaboration, eg drawing the table and / or indicating when the boxes would be ticked.

(c) AO3 = 2

One reason for the psychologist carrying out a pilot study would be to check cameras were positioned appropriately. Another would be to check the suitability of the behavioural categories. Alternative relevant reasons should be credited.
One mark for a brief reason eg to check equipment. A further mark for elaboration as above.

(a) AO3 = 3

Candidates may point out that the % of secure attachment in all three countries is very similar, but that insecure attachments vary. Country one has the lowest % of insecure-avoidant but the highest of insecure resistant. Country three has the lowest % of insecure-resistant but the highest of insecure-avoidant.

One mark for a brief outline of one point. Two further marks for accurate elaboration of one point in detail or more than one point more briefly.
Candidates may refer to limitations of the strange situation as a way of identifying attachment type. Alternatively they may focus on the differences in number of studies in each country.

They could also criticise the use of meta analysis.

One mark for a brief outline of a relevant criticism. Two further marks for elaboration. For example, the findings for country two come from 18 different studies. We can’t be sure that all of the studies were carried out in the same way (second mark). It is possible that the ‘Strange Situation’ or ways of categorising types of attachment were different in the different studies (third mark).

If candidates give more than one criticism, the best should be credited.